The Congress of Local and Regional Authorities



20 th **SESSION** CG(20)7 2 March 2011

Local elections in Ukraine (31 October 2010)

Bureau of the Congress

Rapporteur: Nigel MERMAGEN, United Kingdom (L, ILDG)¹

Α.	Draft resolution	. 2
В.	Draft recommendation.	. 2
	Explanatory memorandum	

Summary

Following the official invitation from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine to observe the local elections on 31 October 2010, the Congress appointed an observer delegation, headed by Gudrun Mosler-Törnström (R, Austria, SOC), Member and Vice-President of the State Parliament of Salzburg. Councillor Nigel Mermagen (L, UK, ILDG) was appointed Rapporteur. The delegation was composed of fifteen members of the Congress and four members of the EU Committee of the Regions, assisted by four members of the Congress secretariat.

The delegation concluded, after pre-election and actual election observation missions, that local elections in Ukraine were generally conducted in a calm and orderly manner. It also noted with satisfaction that for the first time, local elections were held separately from parliamentary ones, as requested in the past by the Congress.

No indications of systematic fraud were brought to the attention of the delegation but there was evidence of inconsistencies. The principal matter of concern – also shared by other international and domestic observers – was a newly adopted local election law which created politically unbalanced electoral commissions, discretionary registration of candidates and overly complicated voting and counting procedures.

Considering the findings of its missions, the Congress delegation assessed that overall, the local elections of 31 October 2010 in Ukraine met neither the standards that it wished to see, nor the standards set by the presidential elections in January and February 2010. Consequently, the Congress delegation calls on responsible Ukrainian authorities to continue dialogue with the Council of Europe, particularly the Venice Commission, and to pursue thoroughly the reform aiming at adopting a unified electoral code for Ukraine. In parallel, the local self-government reform project should be further enhanced. The Congress delegation encourages President Yanukovych to endorse these policies.

EPP/CD: European People's Party – Christian Democrats of the Congress

SOC: Socialist Group of the Congress

NR: Members not belonging to a Political Group of the Congress



¹ L: Chamber of Local Authorities / R: Chamber of Regions ILDG: Independent and Liberal Democrat Group of the Congress

A. DRAFT RESOLUTION

- 1. Free and fair elections, at national but also at territorial level, constitute an integral part of democratic processes in Council of Europe member states.
- 2. The Congress of Local and Regional Authorities welcomes that, since its accession to the Council of Europe in 1995, Ukraine has committed herself to strengthening local and regional democracy.
- 3. It also acknowledges the efforts of Ukraine in respect of its ongoing reform process of democratic structures, electoral legislation and local self-government.
- 4. The Congress takes note of the Draft Recommendation regarding the findings of the mission to observe the local elections in Ukraine of 31 October 2010.
- 5. Given the above, and in conformity with its Resolution 306(2010) on strategy and rules for the observation of local and regional elections, the Congress,
- a. mandates its Monitoring Committee to take note of this Draft Recommendation and to take it into account during the foreseen assessment of the progress made by the country in honouring her commitments to the European Charter of Local Self-Government;
- b. decides to examine, in co-ordination with other Council of Europe bodies, ways of supporting Ukraine in devising and implementing the necessary reforms for a tangible improvement of local and regional democracy.

B. DRAFT RECOMMENDATION

- 1. The Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe refers to:
- a. the Committee of Ministers' Statutory Resolution (2000)1 on the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe;
- b. the principles laid down in the European Charter of Local Self-Government (ECLSG) which was ratified by Ukraine on 11 September 1997.
- 2. The Congress points to the importance of genuinely democratic elections and to its specific mandate and role in the observation of local and regional elections in Council of Europe member countries.
- 3. It stresses that the Congress observes elections only upon invitation by the countries. Just as the monitoring process of the European Charter of Local Self-Government, election observation missions are conceived as co-operation activities.
- 4. It expresses its will and availability to participate in activities aimed at strengthening local democracy as well as electoral processes in Ukraine.
- 5. The Congress welcomes the willingness of the Ukrainian authorities to receive international observers. However, it regrets that for these local elections the official invitations to international observers were sent only four weeks before election day which created difficulties in the preparations for the observation missions.
- 6. The Congress notes with satisfaction that, for the first time, local elections were held separately from parliamentary ones as recommended by Congress Recommendation 192 (2006).
- 7. It also notes with satisfaction that, in general, the polling process was conducted in a calm and orderly manner.

- 8. The Congress welcomes the improvements observed in regard to the certification of voters' lists in comparison to previous elections.
- 9. However, the Congress regrets to note that shortcomings remain in respect of the legal framework concerning local electoral processes in Ukraine:
- a. a new law on local elections (the law on Elections of Members of the Verkhovna Rada of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea, Local Councils and Village, Settlement, City Mayors) came into force only three months before the elections and was amended on 30 August 2010, only two months prior to the elections;
- b. this new law made changes, in particular, to: party registration requirements; the composition of electoral commissions; the possibility of independent candidatures; as well as electoral timelines. Provisions of this law had serious impacts on the quality of conduct of local elections in Ukraine. During its meetings and visits the Congress delegation was also informed of problems that some candidates had faced in registering to run in the elections as well as allegations of 'cloning' of opposition party branches;
- 10. The delegation also regretted that, due to the rushed time frame in which the local elections took place, there were shortcomings in the preparation for the elections. Notably insufficient training for electoral commission members which contributed to organisational problems and procedural violations, as well as the vagueness of the law and the number and size of ballot papers which led to an overlong complicated election count.
- 11. Taking into account the previous comments, the Congress invites the Ukrainian authorities to take all necessary steps:
- a. to submit the projected unified Electoral Code or any other new specific electoral legislation on local and regional elections in Ukraine to the Council of Europe Venice Commission for opinion, prior to adoption by the Parliament;
- b. to refrain from adopting new or changing existing electoral provisions within one year of elections, in compliance with the recommendations of the Venice Commission.

In the new electoral provisions, the following concerns, which were observed during the local elections of 31 October 2010, should be addressed:

- clearer explanations on how posts in electoral commissions are appointed;
- a more balanced political representation in electoral commissions at all levels;
- reconsideration of the electoral timetable to allow time for campaigning on the issues and training for electoral commissions;
- allowing independent candidates to run in local elections, in particular, as mayoral candidates;
- restriction of home voting to cases where it is absolutely necessary and requiring supporting documentation:
- systematic and standardised training programme for all members of electoral commissions coordinated by the Central Election Commission;
- a less time consuming counting procedure;
- that the electoral complaint and appeal system be brought into compliance with the recognised European standards;
- tighter control of the ballot design and printing process and that the order on ballot papers is decided by the drawing of lots;
- c. to send invitations to international election observers as soon as possible, after the date of elections has been decided:
- d. to ensure a centralised official publication of election results within a reasonable time frame;

e. to reconsider the location of some of the polling stations because of their small size and difficult accessibility, in particular for voters with physical disabilities;

f. to ensure that – in parallel with the electoral reform process – a substantial reform of local self-government structures will be accomplished, according to the principles of the Congress European Charter of Local Self-Government;

- g. in respect of the different ongoing reform projects in Ukraine (constitutional, electoral, local and regional democracy), to strive for a wide political consensus and, for a transparent and participatory process involving civil society;
- h. to ensure that journalistic freedoms and media pluralism are protected.

C. EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

I. Introduction

- 1. Following the official invitation from the Minister of Foreign Affairs of Ukraine to observe the local elections on Sunday 31 October 2010, the Bureau of the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities responded positively. Regrettably, the invitation was received by the Congress on 27 September 2010, allowing only four weeks to prepare.
- 2. The Congress delegation was headed by Gudrun Mosler-Törnström (R, Austria, SOC) and was composed of fifteen members of the Congress, four members of the Committee of the Regions of the European Union and four members of the Congress secretariat. Nigel Mermagen (L, United Kingdom, ILDG) was appointed Rapporteur.
- 3. There was a pre-election visit to Kyiv by four members of the Congress and two members of the secretariat on 11 and 12 October. The full delegation travelled to Kyiv for meetings on 29 October before being deployed throughout Ukraine for further local meetings on 30 October and the actual observation of elections on 31 October. A press conference was held by the Head of Delegation, Rapporteur and the Speaker for the Committee of the Regions in Kyiv on 1 November.
- 4. The Congress wishes to express its thanks to Mr Ake Peterson, Special Representative of the Secretary General of the Council of Europe, and his colleagues in the Council of Europe office in Kyiv, for their valuable assistance.

II. Pre-election mission

- 5. A pre-election delegation of the Congress visited Ukraine on 11 and 12 October 2010 to assess the political situation in the country prior to the local elections of 31 October. The aim of the mission was to get a general idea on the state of affairs during the electoral campaign and to obtain more specific information in respect of the new law on local elections, territorial democracy, political pluralism, freedom of expression and the media in Ukraine.
- 6. The delegation was composed of four Congress members: Mr Michel Guégan (France, NR), Congress country Rapporteur for Ukraine, Mr Nigel Mermagen (United Kingdom, ILDG), Mrs Gudrun Mosler-Törnström (Austria, SOC), and Mr Emin Yeritsyan (Armenia, EPP/DC). Two members of the Congress secretariat accompanied the pre-election delegation.
- 7. They met with representatives of the Government, the Parliament and territorial bodies, the Central Election Commission (CEC), members of the diplomatic community in Kyiv, with party representatives, including members of the opposition, with NGOs and the media. Also, an exchange of views with OSCE Ambassador in Kyiv, Mr Lubomir Kopaj, was arranged. The detailed programme of this mission is provided in appendix I.

- 8. The concerns that were raised in these meetings stemmed mainly from the recently adopted law on local elections, which allowed for a dominant share of positions in Territorial (TECs) and Precinct Elections Commissions (PECs) to go to the ruling coalition parties. The new law also reduced the quorum necessary for decision making in electoral commissions to three (Commissions could have between 10 and 24 members) and did not allow political blocks and political parties of less than a year old or independent candidates to run for election.
- 9. The delegation's attention was drawn to the problems that some candidates had faced in registering and the resistance of some TECs to implement court judgements with regard to registering candidates. There were also allegations of 'cloning' of opposition party branches, although in some cases this arose from genuine splits within those parties. Finally the delegation heard a substantial number of allegations that potential candidates had been induced to withdraw from the elections by threats that their jobs and livelihood would suffer if they didn't do so.
- 10. The general election atmosphere was described by Congress interlocutors as one of mistrust. A survey carried out by the Ukrainian NGO "OPORA" showed that 44 percent of the population expected manipulation of the local election results. This was confirmed by the tone and the content of many of the pre-election meetings.

III. Election observation mission

- 11. The actual election observation mission of the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities was carried out from 29 October to 2 November 2010.
- 12. In the days preceding the elections, the delegation met with Mr Mykova Azarov, Prime-Minister of Ukraine, Mr Volodymyr Lytvyn, Chairman of the Parliament of Ukraine, and with the Deputy Head of the Central Election Commission (CEC), Mr Andrij Magera. In addition, the delegation held talks with representatives of the government and opposition political parties, with representatives from ODHIR and international and national NGOs and with media representatives. A press conference concluded the programme on 1 November 2010.
- 13. On Saturday, 30 October, the Congress delegation was divided into 11 teams and deployed to eight different regions in Ukraine (Kyiv Oblast, Cherkasy, Chernihiv, Odessa, Kharkiv, Lviv, Chernivitsi and in the Autonomous Republic of Crimea). In each of these regions the different teams held further in-depth meetings with local representatives of administrations, regional parties and regional NGO branches.
- 14. The teams observed the local elections in these regions on the following day, on Sunday 31 October.
- 15. The Congress wishes to thank all those who met the delegation for the useful information provided and for the open and constructive discussions. The programmes can be found in the appendix I.

-

² The law on Elections of Members of the Verkhovna Rada of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea, Local Councils and Village, Settlement, City Mayors came into force on 31 July 2010 and was amended on 30 August 2010, only two months before the elections.

16. The delegation was composed of the following members:

Congress members:

Mrs Gudrun MOSLER-TÖRNSTRÖM Austria (R, SOC), (Head of the Delegation)

Mr Nigel MERMAGEN United Kingdom (L, ILDG) (Rapporteur)

Mrs Hande Özsan BOZATLI, Turkey (R, EPP/CD)

Mr Devrim CUKUR, Turkey (R,SOC)

Mrs Dusica DAVIDOVIC, Serbia (R, NR)

Mr Henry FERAL, France (L, PPE/DC-EPP/CD)

Mr Volkram GEBEL, Germany (L, EPP/CD)

Mr Gintautas GEGUZINSKAS, Lithuania (R, EPP/CD)

Mrs Jon HERMANS-VLOEDBELD, the Netherlands (L, ILDG)

Mr Hannu KEMPPAINEN, Finland (L, ILDG)

Mr Günther KRUG, Germany (R, SOC)

Mr Francis LEC, France (L, SOC)

Mr Vladimir NOVIKOV, Russian Federation (L, NR)

Mr Petru Radu PAUN JURA, Romania, (L, ILDG)

Mr Emin YERITSYAN, Armenia (L, EPP/CD)

Committee of the Regions members:

Mr Joseph CORDINA, Malta (PES) Mrs Doreen HUDDART, United Kingdom (ALDE) Mr Teet KALLASVEE, Estonia (EPP) Mr Brian MEANEY, Ireland (EA)

Congress secretariat:

Mrs Antonella CAGNOLATi, Director

Mrs Renate ZIKMUND, Head of the Division of Communication, International Relations and Election Observation

Mrs Nichola HOWSON. Assistant to the Ukrainian election mission

Mrs Pauline CADÉAC, Assistant to the Ukrainian election mission

IV. The historical and socio-political background

- 17. On 26 December 2004, Viktor Yushchenko won the repeat run-off presidential election by a margin of eight per cent against Victor Yanukovych and the "Orange Revolution" went down in history. The very different results of the election in the western and eastern part of Ukraine are, in a way, emblematic and characterise the country historically, politically, socially and culturally.
- 18. Ukrainian identity can be, roughly speaking, determined by three reference lines:
 - Russian-Ukrainian relations (17 % of the population are Russians, approx. 4.5 million Ukrainians call Russian their mother tongue);
 - the Polish factor (plays nowadays more a historical role, neighbourly relations are quite good);
 - the European factor (notably the intermediate position of the country between east and west).
- 19. According to political analysts, also the campaigns for the local elections of 31 October 2010 featured, in principle, the two camps (the western freedoms and human rights-based model and the eastern model of a reformed Soviet system).

- 20. Geographically speaking, the structure of Ukraine distinguishes five areas:
 - the west (Lviv, Ternopil, Chernivtsy etc.) with a Ukrainian-speaking population of 90 percent;
 - central Ukraine (Kyiv, Chernihiv, Cherkasy etc.), approx. 82 percent Ukrainians and 17 percent Russians;
 - the districts of eastern Ukraine (Kharkiv, Donetsk, Dnipropetrovsk, etc.), the ratio between Ukrainian and Russian population is almost balanced, it gives a concise Russian majority (52%) and a Ukrainian minority (47%); Donetsk and Lugansk are the only two predominantly Russian areas within Ukraine, with the exception of the Crimea;
 - the Crimean peninsula is composed of two thirds of Russian-speaking people, the Crimean Tatars make up around 12 percent of its population; administratively, the Crimea has the special status of an autonomous region;
 - A good part of the historical "New Russia" Empire area is located in the south, including the main cities Odessa, Mykolayiv and Kherson.
- 21. Historically, the fragmentation of Ukraine is similarly complex:
 - Western Ukraine with the capital city Lviv: since the partitions of Poland (late 18th century) belonging to the Hapsburg Empire, eastern part of the former crown land of Galicia-Lodomeria;
 - Bukovina with its capital Chernivtsy: for some time also belonging to the Hapsburg Empire, then a separate crown land;
 - Carpathian Ukraine: also part of the Hapsburg Empire (under the Hungarian Crown of St. Stephen);
 - left bank Ukraine (river Dnipr): the former territory upholding autonomy in the Russian Empire until 1775 of the Dnipr Cossacks;
 - "New Russia", including the Crimean peninsula: since the end of the 18th century colonised by Russia;
 - Right bank Ukraine (river Dnipr): long time under Polish rule, religiously, linguistically and culturally under Polish influence.
- 22. This complicated, historically established regional structure of Ukraine³ helps to understand why a consensus between West and East is not easy to achieve, especially since independence in 1991. Analysts believe that the Orange Revolution of 2004 has left an even deeper rift in Ukraine, with one half facing towards closer European integration and the other looking to Russia. In any case, the country has been left with two competing centers of power, each working against the other.
- 23. For Victor Yanukovych (Party of Regions) the presidential elections of 17 January and 7 February 2010 marked the climax of his political comeback. He was declared the winner of the second round of voting, with a 3.48% lead over Prime Minister Yulia Tymoshenko (Fatherland Party/Batkivshchyna). He overcame the disgrace of the 2004/05 presidential defeat and retained the leadership of the Party of the Regions, leading it back into power as Prime Minister in 2006-2007. He narrowly lost the 2007 parliamentary elections, but benefited from discord between President Victor Yushchenko and Yulia Tymoshenko and went on to capitalise on discontent over the government's apparent failure to cope with the global economic and financial crisis after 2008.
- 24. Against the background of a divided public opinion in Ukraine about the right future track, disappointment over endless partisan feuding and slow economic progress in the country, the local elections of 31 October 2010 constituted a first test for the newly elected President.

-

³ Kerstin S. Jobst: *History of Ukraine*, Philipp Reclam jun, Stuttgart, 2010.

V. Territorial organisation and local self government

- 25. At its accession to the Council of Europe on 9 November 1995, Ukraine undertook a number of obligations which appear in Opinion No. 190(1995) of the Parliamentary Assembly, in order to implement Article 3 of the Council of Europe Statute, in particular concerning the development of local and regional democracy. Ukraine has ratified important Council of Europe texts such as the European Charter of Local Self-Government, the Congress core legal instrument, or the Madrid Convention on Transfrontier Co-operation between Territorial Communities and Authorities. The entire Charter was ratified by the Ukrainian Parliament on 15 July 1997.
- 26. The international expert opinion that Ukraine is today facing an urgent need to implement large scale local self-government and administrative-territorial reforms is shared by many stakeholders and political forces. Not least by succeeding in strengthening local and regional democracy, Ukraine should be able to pursue a policy of deeper European integration. In this context, Ukraine has been taking steps in respect of a decentralisation reform which can be viewed as a part of a wider public administration reform. In particular, this implies the transfer of wider responsibilities, competences and resources from central authorities to the local self-government authorities, according to the principles of the European Charter of Local Self-Government.
- 27. The Congress of Local and Regional Authorities monitors through regular missions the state of local and regional democracy in Council of Europe member states. The last Congress monitoring missions to Ukraine date back to 2001, where concern was expressed about the worsening of democracy and the rule of law at local and regional level. The Congress also regretted then, that in the context of a centralised public administration, some political forces in Ukraine were still opposed to any reform involving decentralisation of public powers on the basis of the subsidiarity principle. According to the Report of 2001, laws and provisions regarding local and regional self-government were often unclear and badly implemented.
- 28. An expert report on the current state of implementation of the Charter in Ukraine (prepared as part of the Council of Europe Programme "Strengthening Local Democracy and Support for Local Government Reforms in Ukraine") concluded in July 2010 that most of the provision of the European Charter of Local Self-Government were still not being implemented. In addition, according to Council of Europe experts, a medium-term roadmap of local self-government reform would be of prime importance and should aim at several objectives, notably: strengthening local and regional democracy, ensuring adequate resources for local self-government bodies at all levels, improvement of the territorial organisation of powers, strengthening of local self government bodies and its officials and improving efficiency of housing and communal services delivered to citizens.
- 29. Unfortunately, the lack of an officially adopted roadmap remains the main obstacle, not only for the implementation of the previously mentioned Council of Europe programme but also for the implementation of the reform as such. Overall, financial weakness is mentioned as the major impediment to the further development of local self-government in Ukraine. In addition to imbalances in revenue allocation, the level of own resources (around 20% of total local revenues) and especially local taxation (2.5%) is low and resources are described to be increasingly unequal between budgets, along with increasing regional disparities.

⁴ Report on Compliance of the Ukrainian Legislation with the Principles of the European Charter for Local Self-Government, DPA/PAD 1/2010.

VI. Legal framework, electoral rules and administration

- 30. The presidential elections of 17 January and 7 February 2010 resulted in significant changes for the political landscape of Ukraine. The Party of Regions became the strongest party in the majority coalition a new government was formed and is chaired by Mykola Azarov. During President Yanukovich's efforts to consolidate the divided political scene, a most important step was taken on 1 October 2010 by the Constitutional Court, which cancelled the constitutional reform of 2004, thus returning to the Constitution adopted in 1996 and thereby reintroducing the political system of a presidential democracy.
- 31. The Verkhovna Rada in February 2010 voted to postpone the local and regional elections initially scheduled for May 2010. These delayed elections were eventually held on 31 October 2010 under a new electoral law that could be introduced in the meantime.
- 32. This new law on local elections (the law on Elections of Members of the Verkhovna Rada of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea, Local Councils and Village, Settlement, city Mayors) came into force on 31 July 2010 and was amended 30 August 2010, only two months before the elections. This goes directly against the Venice Commission's Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters⁵ according to which the 'fundamentals of electoral law should not be open to amendment less than one year before an election.'
- 33. Under the newly voted local election law, the Central Election Commission (CEC) created 670 Territorial Election Commissions (TECs), two city commissions (Kyiv and Sevastopol), 24 regional commissions, 474 district commissions (and four Sevastopol district commissions) and 166 municipal commissions. TECs consisted of 9 to 18 members with up to 15 of them from parties in Parliament and three from parties not in Parliament, chosen by the CEC through the drawing of lots. The CEC set up precinct level commissions (PECs) which coordinated the work of over 32,000 polling stations.
- 34. It was widely observed that the ruling parties had a large and disproportionate representation in the TECs and PECs as well as holding the majority of executive posts (Chair, Co-Chair and Secretary to the Committee). This fuelled doubts about the impartiality of the electoral commissions. The new law required mayoral candidates to be nominated by city branches of political parties, thus eliminating the possibility of self-nomination for city mayors. Candidates for heads of villages were nominated by parties or self-nominated. The law also decreased from 90 to 50 days the official electoral period and shortened the submission and consideration of election related complaints by commissions and courts.
- 35. On 1 July 2010, the Verhovna Rada decided to hold the local elections on 31 October 2010. The Verhovna Rada of the Crimea took the same decision on 4 August 2010. The election campaign started on 11 September 2010. Roughly 34 million voters were called on 31 October 2010 to elect members of district, regional, village, settlement and city councils as well as the mayors. Under the Constitution of Ukraine, the term of office of the heads of villages and towns is four years. Amongst the 183 parties currently registered in Ukraine, approximately 100 took part in the local elections.
- 36. In 2006, Ukraine had introduced proportional representation for the local council elections. The system has been strongly criticised due to different circumstances. By the new law adopted in June 2010 a legislative mixture between proportional representation (party list) and majority system in single mandate constituencies was introduced for the first time in respect of municipal elections in Ukraine. Deputies to the Crimean Supreme Council and regional, district and city councils were elected according to the mixed system village councils under the majority system. According to experts, amongst them the member of the Venice Commission Marina Stawnijtschuk, this new law constituted a step back in Ukraine's democratic development. Andrij Magera, Deputy Head of the Central Election Commission of Ukraine, qualified it in a meeting with members of the Congress delegation as the worst law in Ukraine's history.

-

⁵ European Commission for Democracy through Law (Venice Commission), Code of Good Practice in Electoral Matters (CDL-AD(2002)23 rev).

VII. Election campaign and media coverage

- 37. The increasing limitation on press freedom and pluralism in Ukraine is cause for regret. In 2010 Ukraine fell 41 places on the press freedom list compiled by "Reporters without Borders"⁶. The Congress delegation heard reports about attacks against journalists and photographers where police have refused to investigate. The disappearance of Vasyl Klymentyev, Chief Editor of the Kharkiv-based weekly newspaper *Novyi Stil* (New Style) in August 2010, is still a cause of great concern.
- 38. The meetings the observation delegation held with journalists and media NGOs confirmed the impression that press freedom is being eroded in Ukraine and that the current climate is detrimental to a fair and balanced coverage of electoral campaigns. Unofficial instructions, increased control mechanisms and threats to journalists were amongst the examples given by the Congress interlocutors. Also, the entanglement of business interests and media was brought to the attention of the delegation.
- 39. Local press is often owned by the local authorities in Ukraine and according to "Reporters without Borders" (August 2010) there is not enough awareness of the degree to which local authorities of all political tendencies obstruct the work of the media. The report states that pressure from local authorities for positive coverage and the difficulty of asserting editorial independence from advertisers means that local journalists face great difficulties and have little room for manœuvre, with the limited labour market for journalists, the cost to rebellious journalists possibly being high.
- 40. In general, the media landscape in Ukraine is dominated by so-called media barons or moguls. However, in Ukraine this is not balanced by a strong public broadcaster. Although there is a state television channel in Ukraine, the delegation was informed about insufficient information on local issues during the election campaign (party political broadcasts were transmitted between 9 am and 5 pm, when the majority of the population are at work).
- 41. The delegation also heard reports of hidden election campaigning through paid programmes or favourable articles (not highlighted as advertisements) as well as occasional smear campaigns. Generally, it was widely considered that only the rich established parties had media campaigns and that overall there was a lack of political analysis and debate on the issues in the media.
- 42. In the Chernihiv oblast the NGO 'Clean Politics Expert Club' had a project to focus the media on the local issues and to give all parties/candidates equal access. They held a press conference and invited each of the ten candidates for Mayor to propose how to solve ten problems in Chernihiv. They then had five minutes to promote their programme and each political party was also given five minutes to promote their campaign. This initiative to ensure equal coverage, quality reporting and focus on real issues was positively received by members of the delegation.
- 43. The Civic Network OPORA a domestic NGO with experience in three previous national elections, including the pre-term parliamentary elections in 2007 and the two rounds of the 2010 presidential elections found that also administrative resources had have been used on a larger scale in comparison to the 2010 presidential elections. Observers from the "Committee for Open Democracy" reported excessive use of administrative resources by the Odessa Regional State Administration, to coerce voters to support the candidate from the ruling party. OPORA also criticised that non-transparent election campaign financing created grounds for "black" electoral budgets thus opening the doors for potential misuse.⁸

⁶ http://en.rsf.org/press-freedom-index-2010,1034.html

http://www.politika.cn.ua/

⁸ OPORA summary of Long-term Local Election Observation findings

VIII. Congress deployment on election day

44. The Congress delegation was divided into eleven teams which covered eight different regions (oblasts) observing 160 different polling stations in different constituencies. The teams were deployed as follows:

Kyiv Oblast

Team A Mr Francis LEC, France (L, SOC)

Ms Pauline CADÉAC, Congress

Cherkasy

Team B Mr Nigel MERMAGEN United Kingdom (L, ILDG) (Rapporteur)

Mr Henry FERAL, France (L, PPE/DC-EPP/CD),

Chernihiv

Team C Mrs Hande Özsan BOZATLI, Turkey (R, EPP/CD)

Ms Nichola HOWSON, Congress

Odessa

Team D Mr Gintautas GEGUZINSKAS, Lithuania (R, EPP/CD)

Mr Joseph CORDINA, Malta (PES)

Team E Mrs Gudrun MOSLER-TÖRNSTRÖM, Austria (R, SOC) (Head of the Delegation)

Mrs Antonella CAGNOLATI, Congress

Karkhiv

Team F Mr Emin YERITSYAN, Armenia (L, EPP/CD)

Mr Vladimir NOVIKOV, Russian Federation (L, NR)

Team G Mr Petru Radu PAUN JURA, Romania, (L, ILDG)

Mr Günther KRUG, Germany (R SOC)

Lviv

Team H Mr Volkram GEBEL, Germany (L, EPP/CD)

Mrs Renate ZIKMUND, Congress

Team I Mr Teet KALLASVEE, Estonia (EPP)

Mrs Jon HERMANS-VLOEDBELD, Netherlands (L, ILDG)

Chernivtsi and Kam'yanets'-Podil's'kyy

Team J Mr Brian MEANEY, Ireland (EA)

Mrs Dusica DAVIDOVIC, Serbia (R, NR)

Mrs Doreen HUDDART, United Kingdom (ALDE)

Crimea

Team K Mr Devrim CUKUR, Turkey (R, SOC)

Mr Hannu KEMPPAINEN Finland (L, ILDG)

45. The polling stations were open from 8 am until 10 pm. The Congress teams observed opening procedures as well as closing and counting sessions in different polling stations. In relation to the developments and observations during polling day, the teams decided to inspect certain polling stations twice or to add specific precincts to their observation programme.

IX. Observations on voting day

- 46. In general, members of the Congress observation delegation noted a tense atmosphere concerning these local elections, in particular during the opening procedures. This can be linked to reports from domestic NGOs on the discovery of an excessive number of extra ballots being printed, or ballots being printed with errors, before the election day, as well as the imbalance of political party representation at different levels of election commissions and Just before election day there were fears that prominent opposition candidates would be deregistered by TECs when it was too late to obtain legal redress. Although there was little evidence of this happening on a large scale, there were a number of suspicious last minute deregistrations among opposition candidates.
- 47. Some teams observed chaotic and confused openings of polling stations. This was due to last minute changes to the ballot papers. The new local election law allows a Territorial Election Commission (TEC) to deregister a candidate for contraventions of election regulations up until 10 pm on the eve of the election day. One team observed a polling station open at 8 am and then close 20 minutes later, as the Precinct Election Commission (PEC) learnt that one of the candidates had been deregistered. This team then watched as the deregistered candidate was stamped out on the ballot papers but no one knew what to do with the ballot papers that had already been cast. This caused considerable tension inside and outside the polling station. A similar situation was observed by another team in a polling station which was not closed down but where the deregistered candidate was stamped out during the election process went on. When Congress members asked a PEC executive what to do with the votes already cast, he replied that they were invalid.
- 48. The Congress delegation was informed by several sources (domestic and international NGOs, political parties and concerned citizens) that there was a great deal of pressure on members of electoral commissions. The turnover of the PECs was extraordinarily high, and in some precincts 25% of PEC representation was changed in the first week, according to reports, the reasons for the withdrawals were: candidates had been proposed by parties without their agreement; external pressure to leave; low payment; complexity of the work; the stress and because the vote counting was expected to last several days.⁹
- 49. All the observation teams expressed doubts about the level of training that the Precinct Election Commissions (PECs) had received. There was no centralised, co-ordinated, coherent training programme for them to follow. It was left to the responsibility of the political parties to organise training for their members of the PECs, which some did and others did not, it was very hit and miss, which led to some disagreements between members of the PECs on how to interpret the complicated election law
- 50. Many observer teams were informed about the late arrival of ballot papers to the PECs. There were cases where a part of the ballot papers had been delivered or collected during the night previous to the election day. This meant that some members of the PECs had not slept the night before the election as the ballot papers had to be counted before the polls were opened.

-

⁹ OPORA Interim report on the findings of their long-term election observation for the period 10-28 October 2010.

- 51. However, it was observed that in the majority of polling stations the Chair of the PEC was very experienced, dedicated and in control of the polling station. It also was noted that women held the majority of seats in PECs and their active role in the democratic process should be applauded as it was very time consuming, stressful and with very little remuneration.
- 52. Several observation teams noted polling stations which were too small, particularly those held in classrooms in schools. At peak times this led to queuing inside and outside the polling stations and considerable delays in the voting process. They observed citizens decide against voting when they saw the queues.
- 53. In some stations Congress observers noticed a shortage of voting booths, leading many voters to mark their ballot papers wherever they could find a convenient place to do so, against walls and occasionally on the ballot boxes themselves, thereby jeopardising the secrecy of the vote.
- 54. It was also observed that many of the polling stations visited did not have handicap access. In some cases polling stations were situated on the second or third floor of a building without a lift.
- 55. The number of ballot papers (between five and seven depending on the locality) and the length of each one, due to the number of candidates and parties, caused confusion and delays, particularly amongst older voters, who were observed to come out of the voting booths to hold the ballot paper to a better light to be able to read it.
- 56. The printing of ballot papers was carried out by a large number of contractors around the country, many without qualifications or experience in security printing, which led to problems in security control. This in turn gave rise to allegations of excessive printing of ballot papers and of fake ballot papers being used. The delegation also heard reports concerning the order in which candidates appeared on the ballots. The ballot list was supposed to be in the order of the registration but the delegation heard from several stakeholders that some political parties were somehow already registered before the registration process officially opened.
- 57. During the meetings that the observation delegations had held with regional NGOs and political parties, on the eve of the election, concerns were expressed about the situation concerning home voting. The delegation paid particular attention to this point on the election day. According to the local election law any citizen could register to vote at home because of health reasons, a note from a medical professional was not required. There was concern that such a system is open to possible abuse and fraud during the elections.
- 58. Every polling station visited by the Congress teams had home voting. It was noted that the general practice was three members of the PEC took a mobile voting box to homes to allow people, registered on the home voting list, to cast their vote. They were accompanied by observers and sometimes a police officer. Unfortunately none of the observation teams were able to actually observe a home vote take place. Home voting was mainly a small percentage of the vote; however, in one polling station it was observed that home voting was 10% of the voting list which is cause for concern.
- 59. The actual voting on the day took place in a calm and generally orderly manner. However, the Congress delegation did observe an incident of ballot stuffing and on several occasions witnessed family voting.
- 60. In addition, in certain localities the police and security presence inside and outside polling stations could be said to be intimidating, and some of our observers were aware of police reporting on their movements.
- 61. The list of voters had been considerably improved, in particular since the last election monitored by the Congress in 2002, and no problems were observed.
- 62. The counting process was confused, and inordinately lengthy, lasting well into the next day. It resulted in delays to announce results and obviously gave greater opportunity for fraudulent activity. These fastidious procedures were mainly caused by the vagueness of the electoral law and lack of training of the members of the PECs.

X. Election results

- 63. Generally, the population of Ukraine is suffering from election fatigue. These elections were the sixth to be held in ten years (without counting second round elections). The turnout for the elections was reported as 46%.
- 64. As official results of the local elections of 31 October 2010 were not published in a centralised manner, the Congress was unable to retrieve the relevant internet information at this stage. Further to the request of the Congress secretariat of 10 February 2011, Mr Mykola Tochytskyi, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary and Permanent Representative of Ukraine to the Council of Europe, presented the document attached to this Explanatory Memorandum (see Appendix VI) on 18 February.
- 65. According to NGOs, internet and media reports, the Party of Regions of President Victor Yanukovych has considerably strengthened its position and is the main party in two thirds of the cities.
- 66. Overall, Batkivshchyna remains the main opposition force but lost most of its "traditional" regions, amongst the centre of the country and its western stronghold.
- 67. Finally, also remarkable is the breakthrough of Oleg Tyahnybok's ultra-right Party of Freedom ("Svoboda").

XI. Conclusion

- 68. The Congress delegation acknowledges the willingness of the Ukrainian government to strengthen territorial democracy which implies measures to ensure genuinely democratic elections at local and regional level. Unfortunately, the newly adopted law on local elections which had been drafted hastily and adopted without sufficiently taking into account advice and recommendations of the Council of Europe did not serve this purpose. The Congress regretted in particular that the new legislation led to politically unbalanced electoral administration, prevented independent and self-nominated candidates to run and made the voting procedures and counting unnecessarily fastidious.
- 69. Therefore, the Congress considers that the local elections held on 31 October 2010 neither met the standards that the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities would wish to see, nor did it meet standards set by Ukraine herself, for the presidential elections in January and February 2010.
- 70. The Congress wishes that the foreseen unified election code or any other electoral legislation in Ukraine is drafted in line with the recommendations of the Council of Europe Venice Commission and that it addresses the problems raised in this report.

Appendix I: Programmes

Programme of the pre-election observation mission of the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe to Ukraine, 11 to 12 October 2010

Sunday, 10 October

Arrival of the delegation in Kyiv

08.45	Meeting with OSCE Ambassador Lubomir KOPAJ
10.00	Meeting with Yuriy KLUCHKOVSKIY, MP, Our Ukraine party,
	Deputy Head of the Committee on the state building and local self-government
11.00	Meeting with Myroslav PITZYK, Chief Executive of the Association of Cities
12.00	Meeting with Vasyl ZAJCHUK, Head of the Association of the Villages' and Settlements'
	Councils
14.00	Mr Viktor TYKHONOV, Vice Prime Minister for Regional Politics of Ukraine
15.15	Mr Kostyantyn GRYSHCHENKO, Minister for Foreign Affairs of Ukraine
17.00	Mr Andrij MAGERA, Deputy Head of the Central Election Commission and members of the
	Central Election Commission, Ms Hanna USENKO-CHORNA, Ms Yulia SHVETS, Mr Ihor
	ZHYDENKO and Mr Valery SHELUDKO

Tuesday, 12 October

09.00	Meeting with non-governmental organisations:
	Ms Olga AIVAZOVSKA, "Opora" Mr Oleksadr CHERNENKO, Committee of Voters of Ukraine,
10.00	Meeting with Media representatives:
10.00	Mr. Oleksandr CHEKMYSHEV, Equility of Opportunities
	Ms.Natalia LIGACHOVA, Internet Edition "Telekrytyka"
	Mr. Andriy KULYKOV, ICTV Channel, "Freedom of Speech" Programme
	Ms. Inna VEDERNIKOVA, Dzerkalo Tyzhnya newspaper
11.00	Mr V. UDOVYCHENKO, Mayor of Slavutych, Kyiv Region, Member of Congress Delegation
	from Ukraine
12.00	Meeting with representatives of international NGOs
	Ms Kristina WILFORE, National Democratic Institute
	Ms Kateryna RYABIKO, USAID Ukraine
13.00	Mr Y. ANDRIJCHUK, Association of Local and Regional Authorities Association of Ukraine
13.30	Meeting with representatives of BYuT (Yulia TYMOSHENKO Bloc)
14.00	Departure of delegation for airport

PROGRAMME FOR THE CONGRESS DELEGATION FOR THE OBSERVATION OF LOCAL ELECTIONS IN UKRAINE ON 31 OCTOBER 2010 28 October – 2 November 2010

Thursday, 28 October

Arrival of delegation in Kyiv

Friday, 29 October

08.15-09.00 Entire delegation briefing in the hotel in (Embassy Suite 1);

the delegation splits into two groups

Delegation A travels to meetings around Kyiv

Mrs Gudrun MOSLER-TÖRNSTRÖM (Head of Delegation)

Mr Nigel MERMAGEN (Rapporteur)

Mrs Doreen HUDDART (Committee of the Regions)
Mr Gunther KRUG – in the afternoon (Congress)
Mrs Antonella CAGNOLATI (Congress Director)
Mrs Pauline CADEAC – in the morning (Congress)

Mr Sacha PAVLICHENKO (Council of Europe Office in Kyiv)

09.40-10.10	Meeting with Party of Regions
10.15-10.45	Meeting with Our Ukraine Party
11.00-12.00	Mr Volodymyr LYTVYN, Chairman of the Verkhovna Rada
12.00-13.00	Mr Andrij MAGERA, Deputy Head of the Central Election Commission
14.00-14.35	Meeting in Presidential Administration with the Deputy Head of the
	Presidential Administration, Mrs Ollean LUKASH
15.00	Prime-Minister of Ukraine, Mr Mykova AZAROV
16.30-17.20	Meeting with BYuT party in the Parliament
18.00-18.30	Mr Kostyantyn GRYSHCHENKO, Minister for Foreign Affairs of Ukraine

Delegation B attends meetings at the hotel

	Representatives of political parties not in Parliament
09.00-09.45	"Strong Ukraine" of Tygipko, Head of the legal unit of the headquarters, Mr
	Olexandra PAVLENKO
09.45-10.20	"Front of changes" (Yatsenyuk), Mr Chalyj VALERIJ
10.30-11.05	Socialist Party, Mr O.O. Moroz and Mykola RUDKOVSKY, Head of the electoral
	headquarters
11.15-11.50	United Centre (Baloga), Mr Igor POPOV
12.00-12.35	Freedom – Svoboda (Tyagnybok)
13.30	ODHIR and International NGOs
	Jonathan STONESTREET, Head of the Election Expert team and Mrs Lusine
	BADALYAN, Election Adviser ODHIR,
	Ms Kristina WILFORE, National Democratic Institute
14.30	Meeting with local NGOs
	Ms Olga AIVAZOVSKA, OPORA
	Mr Oleksadr CHERNENKO, Committee of Voters of Ukraine,
16.30	Meeting with media representatives
	Ms Natalia LIGACHOVA, "Telekrytyka" magazine Editor-in Chief
	Mr Andriy KULYKOV, ICTV, "Freedom of Expression" Programme Host
	Ms Viktoria SJUMAR, Head of the Institute of Mass Media

Saturday, 30 October – Deployment of teams to regions – afternoon meetings in the regions

Kyiv Oblast	
Team A	Mr Francis LEC, France (L, SOC), 1er Vice-Président du Conseil Général de la Somme - Conseiller municipal d'Amiens Ms Pauline CADÉAC, Assistant to the Ukrainian election mission, Congress
11.00 15.00	Meeting in the Ukrainka city with the Mayor Kozyrev Pavlo GENRIHOVYCH Meeting with Mayor of Boryspol Fedorchuk Anatolij SOLOVIOVYCH
Kyiv - Cherka	asy
Team B	Mr Nigel MERMAGEN United Kingdom (L, ILDG) (Rapporteur) Councillor, South Somerset District Council Mr Henry FERAL, France (L, PPE/DC-EPP/CD), Mayor of Puycelci
14.00-17.00	Meetings with the representatives of the parties, NGO and media in the conference As well as a meeting with the Mayor of Cherkassy
Kyiv - Cherni	hiv
Team C	Mrs Hande Özsan BOZATLI, Turkey (R, NR), Vice-President of Istanbul Provincial Counc Mrs Nichola HOWSON, Assistant to the Ukrainian election mission, Congress
11.00-18.00	Meetings with the Governor, representatives of political parties, Regional Electoral Commission, NGOs and Congress member Nataliya ROMANOVA
Odessa	
Team D	Mr Gintautas GEGUZINSKAS, Lithuania (R, -EPP/CD), Mayor of Pasvalys
	District Municipality Mr Joseph CORDINA, Malta (PES), Mayor of Xaghra

Meeting with the Head of the City Council, member of the CoE CLRA Mr Eduard GURVITS

Meeting with NGOs (CVU regional coordinator Mr. Anatoliy BOJKO, "Opora" regional coordinator

Kharkiv	
Team F	Mr Emin YERITSYAN, Armenia (L, -EPP/CD), Councillor, Community of Parakar Mr Vladimir NOVIKOV, Russian Federation (L, NR)
Team G	Mr Petru Radu PAUN JURA, Romania, (L, ILDG), Mayor of Simeria, Huedoara Cunty Mr Günther KRUG, Germany (R SOC) Mitglied des Abgeordnetenhauses, Berlin
14.00	Meeting with the representative of party 'Batkivschina' Mr Arsen AVAKOV
15.00	Meeting with current Mayor – Mr. KERNES
16.00	Meeting with the representative of Communist Party – Alla Aleksandrovska
17.00	NGOs: Opora Viktoria Shevchuk Committee of Voters of Ukraine Kamchatnyi Michael) Kharkiv Human Rights Group – Yevgeniy Zakharov

Meeting with representatives of regional parties

Ms. Vira GRUZOVA)

15.00-16.30

16.30-17.30

17.30-18.30

Lviv	
Team H	Mr Volkram GEBEL, Germany (L, EPP/CD), Landrat, Kreis Plön (communal level) Mrs Renate ZIKMUND, Head of the Congress Division of International Relations and Election Observation
Team I	Mr Teet KALLASVEE, Estonia (EPP), Member of the Haapsalu City Council Mrs Jon HERMANS-VLOEDBELD, Netherlands (L, ILDG), Mayor of Almelo
13.30-14.30	Meeting with the Congress Member, the Lviv Regional Council Head, Mr Myroslav SENYK
14.30-16.00	Meeting with the Heads of the Regional Parties, including the Former Mayor of Lviv, former member of the Congress, former Minister of Regional Development and Construction of Ukraine, Mr Vasyl KYJBIDA
16.30-17.30	Meetings with the NGOs "Opora" Mr Andriy DUBCHAK and the Committee of Voters of Ukraine (CVU) Ms Oksana DYSHCHAKIVSKA
18.00	Meeting with the Mayor of L'viv, Mr Andriy Sadovyi
Chernivtsi ar	nd Kam'yanets'-Podil's'kyy
Team J	Mr Brian MEANEY, Ireland (EA), Clare County Council, Mid-West Regional Authority Mrs Dusica DAVIDOVIC, Serbia (R, NR), Member of the City Assembly of Nis Mrs Doreen HUDDART, United Kingdom (ALDE, Member of Newcastle City Council)
12.00-13.00	Meeting with the Head of the International Department, Mr Volodymyr Kylynych, Chernivtsi City Council
13.00-14.30	Meeting with the Heads of the Chernivtsi Regional Parties
15.00-16.30	Meeting with NGOs (Chernivtsi CVU Head Yaroslav FILYAK and Kam'yanets-Podilskyi CVU Head Yuriy ANUFRIEV Chernivtsi "Opora" Head Nazar TYMOSHCHUK plus one more NGO representative from Kam'yanets-Podilskyi)
16.30-17.30	Meeting with the representative of the Kam'yanets-Podilskyi City Council
Crimea	
Team K	Mr Devrim CUKUR, Turkey (R, NI/NR), Member of the Provincial Council of Izmir Mr Hannu KEMPPAINEN Finland (L, ILDG), Member of the City Council of Kajaani, Chair, City Board
14.00-14.30	Meeting with the Head of the City Council Mr. Hennadiy BABENKO
14.30-15.30	Meeting with NCOs (NCO "Information Press Center" Head Ma, Valentyna Samer
15.40-16.40	Meeting with NGOs (NGO "Information Press Center" Head Ms. Valentyna Samar,

Meeting with a member of the Congress delegation from Ukraine, Ms. Larisa TUYSUZOVA

Sunday, 31 October – LOCAL ELECTION DAY

Polling stations open at 07h15 (without possibility to vote) Voting starts at 08h00 and ends at 22h00

Monday, 1 November

16.45-19.30

Return of the election observation teams to Kyiv 15h00 Press conference at the Radisson Blu Hotel, Kyiv

Tuesday, 2 November

Delegation leaves Ukraine

Appendix III: Media Advisory

Ref: MA107a10

Strasbourg, 07.10.2010

Local elections in Ukraine: Congress pre-election delegation heads for Kyiv

Date: 11-12 October 2010 **Location:** Kyiv (Ukraine)

A delegation of the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe, headed by Gudrun Mosler-Törnström (Austria, SOC), will carry out a pre-electoral mission to Ukraine for the upcoming local elections which are scheduled for 31 October 2010. Nigel Mermagen (UK, ILDG) was appointed Rapporteur for the report which will follow the election monitoring.

The pre-election delegation will meet with representatives of government, electoral bodies, parties, the diplomatic community, NGOs and the media. A larger Congress delegation – including members of the EU-Committee of the Regions – will be in the country from 28 October to 1 November to observe the elections.

Members of the Congress pre-election delegation: Gudrun MOSLER-TÖRNSTRÖM (Austria, SOC) - Head of Delegation Nigel MERMAGEN (UK, ILDG) - Rapporteur Michel GUEGAN (France, NR) Emin YERITSYAN (Armenia, EPP/DC)

Press contacts on the spot:

Renate ZIKMUND, Head of the Congress Division of Communication, International Relations and Election Observation, tel. +33 6 59 78 64 55; renate.zikmund@coe.int
Council of Europe Office in Kyiv, Tel: +380 44 2344084, http://www.coe.kiev.ua/

More information is available at www.coe.int/congress-elections

Appendix IV: Media Advisory

Ref: MA118a10

Strasbourg, 26.10.2010

Council of Europe Congress to observe local elections in Ukraine

Date: 28 October to 2 November 2010

Location: Ukraine

A delegation from the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of the Council of Europe will observe local elections in Ukraine, on 31 October 2010.

On 29 and 30 October the delegation will hold meetings in Kyiv and in some regions with representatives from government, opposition, electoral bodies, NGOs and media.

Amongst the meetings requested by the delegation are the Presidential Administration and the Minister of Regional Development and Construction of Ukraine, Volodymyr Yatsuba. Meetings have been confirmed with the Chairman of the Parliament (Verkhovna Rada), Volodymyr Lytvyn; Deputy Head of the Central Election Commission, **Andrij Magera**; representatives of political parties; the Foundation for Local Self-government in Ukraine; as well as with ODHIR and other international organisations.

On 29 October, the delegation will divide into eleven teams and deploy to several cities including Odessa, Lviv, Simferopol and Kharkiv. There, they will meet with elected representatives, candidates and NGOs on 30 October and observe the elections on 31 October.

Gudrun Mosler-Törnström, Austria (R, SOC) will head the delegation, which will also include four members of the European Union's Committee of the Regions as a part of the cooperation agreement between the two institutions. Nigel Mermagen, United Kingdom (L, ILDG) will be the Rapporteur of the mission and Doreen Huddart, United Kingdom, (ALDE) the speaker of the Committee of the Regions.

A pre-election mission was carried out at the beginning of October in Kyiv to assess the situation in the country prior to the elections. On this occasion, Congress members met representatives of electoral bodies, representatives of government and Parliament, as well as opposition representatives including Yuliya Tymoshenko.

The delegation will present its preliminary findings during a press conference scheduled for Monday 1 November at 15:00 at the Radisson Blu Hotel in Kyiv (Radisson Blu Hotel, Yaroslaviv Val str. 22, Kyiv 01034).

The delegation

Mrs Gudrun MOSLER-TÖRNSTRÖM Austria (R, SOC) - Head of the Delegation

Mr Nigel MERMAGEN, United Kingdom (L, ILDG) - Rapporteur

Mrs BOZATLI Hande Özsan, Turkey (R, EPP/CD)

Mr Devrim CUKUR, Turkey (R, SOC)

Mrs Dusica DAVIDOVIC, Serbia (R, NR)

Mr Henry FERAL, France (L, EPP/CD)

Mr Volkram GEBEL, Germany (L, EPP/CD)

Mr Gintautas GEGUZINSKAS, Lithuania (R, EPP/CD)

Mrs Jon HERMANS-VLOEDBELD, the Netherlands (L, ILDG)

Mr Günther KRUG, Germany (R, SOC)

Mr Hannu KEMPPAINEN, Finland (L, ILDG)

Mr Francis LEC, France (L, SOC)

Mr Vladimir NOVIKOV, Russian Federation (L, NR)

Mr Petru Radu PAUN JURA, Romania, (L, ILDG)

Mr Emin YERITSYAN, Armenia (L, EPP/CD)

EU Committee of the Regions

Mr Joseph CORDINA, Malta (PES)
Mrs Doreen HUDDART, United Kingdom, (ALDE) – speaker of the Committee of the Regions
Mr Teet KALLASVEE, Estonia (EPP)
Mr Brian MEANEY, Ireland (EA)

Congress Secretariat

Antonella CAGNOLATI, Congress Director

Renate ZIKMUND, Head of the Congress Division of Communication, International Relations and Election Observation,

Nichola HOWSON, Assistant to the Ukrainian election mission Pauline CADÉAC, Assistant to the Ukrainian election mission

Press contacts on the spot:

Renate Zikmund, Head of the Congress Division of Communication, International Relations and Election Observation, tel. +33 6 59 78 64 55; renate.zikmund@coe.int
Council of Europe Office in Kyiv, Tel: +380 44 2344084, http://www.coe.kiev.ua/

More information is available at www.coe.int/congress-elections

Appendix V: Press Release

Ref. 816a10

Congress calls on Ukraine for urgent improvement of electoral legislation

Kyiv, 01.11.2010 – A 23-member delegation of the Strasbourg-based Council of Europe Congress of Local and Regional Authorities yesterday observed the local elections in Ukraine. 33.6 million voters were asked to decide on city mayors and heads of villages and settlements, city and rural councils as well as on regional representatives. On the Crimean peninsula, a region with autonomous status within Ukraine, elections were also held for the Crimean Supreme Council. In the rest of Ukraine, this was the first time that elections had been held for the territorial self-governmental bodies of the country, without national elections taking place at the same time.

The Congress delegation was made up of elected representatives from 15 European countries, including four representatives of the EU Committee of the Regions. They observed the voting in different cities, towns and regions of Ukraine, especially in the communities surrounding the cities of Kyiv, Odessa, Kharkiv, Lviv, Chernivitsi as well as on the Crimean peninsula. On 11 and 12 October 2010, a Congress pre-election delegation had held talks with representatives of government and opposition parties, electoral bodies, NGOs, diplomatic circles and the media to assess the general political situation, the legal framework, the election campaign and the situation relating to the press and freedom of expression.

At a press conference on 1 November 2010, members of the delegation of the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities expressed concern over the electoral law for local elections that had been passed by the Ukrainian Parliament just some weeks prior to the elections. They stated that as a consequence of this hastily drafted law, there were shortcomings with regard to the preparation phase, in particular, the registration of candidates and the composition of electoral commissions, the organisational framework and the counting of votes on election night. The latter was marked by a lack of training in some polling stations and, in general, by overly long counting procedures.

"Those who passed a law allowing for a politically unbalanced composition of election commissions, and providing, for example, that candidates can be removed from the lists at the last moment before the elections, should accept that the voting was not of a standard we would wish to see, namely, fully in line with the requirements of the European standards for fair, transparent and professionally organised elections," said Head of the Congress delegation Gudrun Mosler-Törnström (Austria, SOC).

"Voting day has exposed the weaknesses of the new electoral law, passed just three months before these local elections," added Teet Kallasvee (Estonia, EPP), on behalf of the EU Committee of the Regions. "We witnessed that those polling stations where the information about de-registration of candidates arrived at the last moment, opened their doors only one or two hours later for the voters. We observed how voters, because of overcrowded polling stations, had to form queues at the polling booths which could have put the secrecy of the vote in danger. There were between five and eight different ballots to be filled-in with dozens of parties and candidates, and, as a consequence, overlong voting procedures."

The delegation members also expressed concerns with regard to reports that faked and incorrect ballots could have been put into circulation. Furthermore, because of the complicated vote counting that is only vaguely described by the electoral law and due to the fact that decisions could be taken by a quorum of three out of the 18 members of election commissions, lawsuits are to be expected after the announcement of the results. "Surveys showed that before the elections, around 60 percent of the population expected fraud and manipulation. The credibility of the political system in Ukraine has suffered again. This we can say already before we know the final results, which will be the case only in a couple of days", underlined Congress Rapporteur Nigel Mermagen (United Kingdom, ILDG).

The delegation of the Congress of Local and Regional Authorities of Europe called on the Ukrainian authorities to draw immediate conclusions from the local elections and to improve the electoral legislation: "The Congress welcomes the fact that Ukraine has held local elections for the first time without carrying out a national ballot at the same time. We are also pleased that, with the exception of some incidents at certain locations, voting ran generally smoothly. This shows that efforts have been

made to give local self-government a high priority. It is therefore only logical to create a legal framework for electing local and regional self-governmental bodies fully in line with European standards. This requires the weaknesses of the existing legislation to be remedied as quickly as possible", stressed Gudrun Mosler-Törnström.

"Ukraine has already shown it can do better - for example, in the presidential elections earlier this year. With the Council of Europe and its experts in the various institutions, such as the Congress or the Venice Commission, Ukraine has a dependable partner at her side to achieve what is, according to President Yanukovich himself, at the top of the agenda, namely the creation of a unified electoral code for the country to ensure genuinely democratic elections," she concluded.

Appendix VI: Document presented to Congress Secretariat by the Permanent Representation of Ukraine to the Council of Europe on 18 February 2011

1. Joint information
on results of the elections of village, settlement, city mayors on 31 October 2010
(taking into account the repeat voting and repeat elections, conducted until 16 February 2011)

1. Information on elected village, settlement, city mayors by regions of Ukraine

	_	-	_	-		_					-	_	_	_	_				-		_						
24		-	17		3 5	0 0	10		-1			12	5 =			000	1	9	_	4	3			11/11	No		_
Tchernivtsi region	Cherkassy region	region	Kheelington	20 KHAIMINI ICEJOH	20 Kharkiii region	Temonil's	NIVIE region	Poltava region	Odessa region	Mykolayiv region	L'viv region	Luhans'k region	Kirovograd region	Kyiv region	Ivano-Frankivs'k region	region	Lakarpattia region	Zhytomyr region	Donets'k region	Dnipropetrivs'k region	Volyn' region	Vinnitsa region	Autonomous Republic of Crimea	and cities	Republic of	Autonomous	
271	556	605	298	900	010	419	300	503	490	313	710	332	415	659	516	299	337	630	386	348	412	707	297	settlement, city mayors	village,	TO ISOTUDIA	NT of
270	555	604	297	45/	014	419	363	503	489	312	707	332	414	654	515	299	336	629	386	347	412	706	296	mayors elected	settlement,	Village,	
198	214	358	13/	122	456	239	240	307	158	73	465	80	209	310	361	71	241	387	57	37	246	389	59	Total	organi	Nomin	17
73.33	38.56	59.27	46.13	26./0	14.21	5/.04	65./5	61.03	32.31	23.40	.65.77	24.10	50.48	47.40	70.10	23.75	71.73	61.53	14.77	10.66	59.71	55.10	19.93	%	organizations	local party	
72	341	246	160	335	158	180	125	196	331	239	242	252	205	344	154	228	95	242	329	310	166	317	237	Total	parties	Members of the	
26.67	61.44	40.73	53.87	73.30	25.73	42.96	34.25	38.97	67.69	76.60	34.23	75.90	49.52	52.60	29.90	76.25	28.27	38.47	85.23	89.34	40.29	44.90	80.07	%	ties	rs of the	
38	183	174	78	143	152	119	101	144	147	114	134	83	121	225	102	100	47	186	107	114	89	184	93	Total	women	117	
14.07	32.97	28.81	26.26	31.29	24.76	28.40	27.67	28.63	30.06	36.54	18.95	25.00	29.23	34.40	19.81	33.44	13.99	29.57	27.72	32.85	21.60	26.06	31.42	%	men		,
9	12	11	6	8	17	8	13	9	10	8	19	8	13	12	21	4	6	11	13	6	13	9	w	Total	Under 30 years		, 0
3.33	2.16	1.82	2.02	1.75	2.77	1.91	3.56	1.79	2.04	2.56	2.69	2.41	3.14	1.83	4.08	1.34	1.79	1.75	3.37	1.73	3.16	1.27	1.01	%	0 years		
251	527	568	279	426	571	401	339	474	446	293	661	299	387	614	473	280	317	598	355	323	393	671	268	Total	30-60 years	Ву	
92.96	94.95	94.04	93.94	93.22	93.00	95.70	92.88	94.23	91.21	93.91	93.49	90.06	93.48	93.88	91.84	93.65	94.35	95.07	91.97	93.08	95.39	95.04	90.54	%	years	By age	
10	16	25	12	23	26	10	13	20	33	11	27	25	14	28	21	15	13	20	18	18	6	26	25	Total	Over 6		
3.70	2 88	4.14	4.04	5.03	4.23	2.39	3.56	3.98	6.75	3.53	3.82	7.53	3.38	4.28	4.08	5.02	3.87	3.18	4 66	5.19	1 46	3.68	8.45	%	Over 60 years		

_

26 City of Kyiv	569		5	569	236		41.48		333	58.52	2	195		34.27		16		2.81		539	9		94.	94.73	94.73	94.73 14	14	
Total	11516	20	1140	٥	5650		33.33	7	4	66.67	7					0							4	4	4	4	4 66.67 2 3	4 66.67
TOM	1131	۲	11493)3	5652		49.18	58	5841	50.82	2	3173		27.61		265		5		5 2.31	2.31		2.31 10757	2.31 10757	2.31 10757 93.60	2.31 10757 93.60 47	2.31 10757 93.60 471	2.31 10757 93.60 471
on the	he num	ber	of v	illa	ge al	nd c	ity n	nayo	2. ors,	2. Information , elected on the	orm	natic on t)n he n	om	inat	ion		oflo	of local	of local par	of local party o	of local party organ	of local party organizat	of local party organization	2. Information on the number of village and city mayors, elected on the nomination of local party organizations	of local party organizations	of local party organizations	of local party organizations
		4 1					Total o	f rural,	village	city n	najors,	nomin	ated b	y local	party	9	gani	ganizations	ganizations (num	ganizations (number and	ganizations (number and perce	Total of rural, village, city majors, nominated by local party organizations (number and percentage)	ganizations (number and percentage)	ganizations (number and percentage)	ganizations (number and percentage)	ganizations (number and percentage)	ganizations (number and percentage)	ganizations (number and percentage)
The name of the party, local				gion			n	1	egion								C					, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	_	_	_	_	_	_
The name of the party, local organization which have nominated candidates for village, township, city mayors	Autonomous Republic o	Vinnytsya region	Volyn region	Dnipropetrovsk region	Donetsk region	Zhytomyr region	Zakarpattya region	Zaporizzhya region	Ivano-Frankivsk region	Kyiv region	Kirovohrad region	Luhansk region	Lviv region	Mykolaiv region	Odessa region		Poltava region	Poltava region Rivne region		Rivne region	Rivne region Sumy region	Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region	Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region Kharkiv region	Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region Kharkiv region Kherson region	Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region Kharkiv region Kherson region Kmelnytskyi region	Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region Kharkiv region Kherson region Kmelnytskyi region Cherkasy region	Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region Kharkiv region Kherson region Kmelnytskyi region Cherkasy region Chernivtsi region	Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region Kharkiv region Kherson region Kmelnytskyi region Cherkasy region Chernivtsi region Chernivtsi region
Party of Regions	212 91.77	233 63.32	5 05.49	260 90.59	303 93.81	111 50.92 5	41 52.56 8	195 82.63	5 2 04.63 7	232 I 74.60 5	110 50.23	w	55	181 78.70	263 75.14	0 -	118 58.71		18 1	18 159 14.88 71.62	18 159 12 14.88 71.62 07.95	18 159 12 274 14.88 71.62 07.95 83.28	18 159 12 274 125 14.88 71.62 07.95 83.28 70.62	18 159 12 274 125 143 14.88 71.62 07.95 83.28 70.62 45.98	18 159 12 274 125 143 217 14.88 71.62 07.95 83.28 70.62 45.98 70.23	18 159 12 274 125 143 217 35 14.88 71.62 07.95 83.28 70.62 45.98 70.23 56.45	18 159 12 274 125 143 217 35 229 14.88 71.62 07.95 83.28 70.62 45.98 70.23 56.48 63.26	18 159 12 274 125 143 217 35 229 14.88 71.62 07.95 83.28 70.62 45.98 70.23 56.45 63.96
People's Party	1 00.43	23 06.25	17 18.68	02.09	2 00.62	52 23.85 0	6 07.69 (2 00.85 1	17 15.74 0	11 03.54 2	44 20.09	00.40	3 01.58	14 06.09	23 06.57		10		41 33.88	41 6 33.88 02.70	41 6 11 33.88 02.70 07.28	41 6 11 12 33.88 02.70 07.28 03.65	41 6 11 12 13 33.88 02.70 07.28 03.65 07.34	41 6 11 12 13 81 33.88 02.70 07.28 03.65 07.34 26.05	41 6 11 12 13 81 21 33.88 02.70 07.28 03.65 07.34 26.05 06.80	41 6 11 12 13 81 21 2 33.88 02.70 07.28 03.65 07.34 26.05 06.80 03.23	41 6 11 12 13 81 21 2 33.88 02.70 07.28 03.65 07.34 26.05 06.80 03.23	41 6 11 12 13 81 21 2 33.88 02.70 07.28 03.65 07.34 26.05 06.80 03.23
All-Ukrainian Union "Batkivschina" ("Fatherland") party		27 07.34	36 39.56 (2 00.70	00.31	16 07.34		4 01.69 1	15 13.89 0	1 00.32 1	23 10.50		28 14.74	3 01.30		0	11 05.47		19	19 19 15.70 08.56	19 19 38 15.70 08.56 25.17	19 19 38 16 15.70 08.56 25.17 04.86	19 19 38 16 7 15.70 08.56 25.17 04.86 03.95	19 19 38 16 7 11 15.70 08.56 25.17 04.86 03.95 03.54	19 19 38 16 7 11 23 15.70 08.56 25.17 04.86 03.95 03.54 07.44	19 19 38 16 7 11 23 11 15.70 08.56 25.17 04.86 03.95 03.54 07.44 17.74	19 19 38 16 7 11 23 11 15.70 08.56 25.17 04.86 03.95 03.54 07.44 17.74	19 19 38 16 7 11 23 11 15.70 08.56 25.17 04.86 03.95 03.54 07.44 17.74
Communist Party of Ukraine	01.73 0	23 06.25	5 05.49	8 02.79	3 00.93 C	15 06.88 0	1 01.28 0	9 03.81	0	2 00.64 0	16 07.31	25 09.92			15 04.29		17 08.46			12 05.41	12 05.41	12 05.41 03.65	12 12 21 05.41 03.65 11.86	12 12 21 8 05.41 03.65 11.86 02.57	12 12 21 8 13 05.41 03.65 11.86 02.57 04.21	12 12 21 8 13 1 05.41 03.65 11.86 02.57 04.21 01.61	12 12 21 8 13 1 05.41 03.65 11.86 02.57 04.21 01.61	12 12 21 8 13 1 05.41 03.65 11.86 02.57 04.21 01.61
Political Party "Strong Ukraine"	01.30 0	12 03.26	5 05.49 (5 01.74	7 02.17 0	6 02.75 0	1 01.28 0	20 08.47 0	00.93 0	20 06.43 0			4 02.11	4 01.74	13 03.71			3 02.48	3 02.48	3 3 3 02.48 01.35	3 3 2 02.48 01.35 01.32	3 3 2 5 02.48 01.35 01.32 01.52	3 3 2 5 1 02.48 01.35 01.32 01.52 00.56	3 3 2 5 1 8 02.48 01.35 01.32 01.52 00.56 02.57	3 3 2 5 1 8 7 02.48 01.35 01.32 01.52 00.56 02.57 02.27	3 3 2 5 1 8 7 2 02.48 01.35 01.32 01.52 00.56 02.57 02.27 03.23	3 3 2 5 1 8 7 2 02.48 01.35 01.32 01.52 00.56 02.57 02.27 03.23	3 3 2 5 1 8 7 2 02.48 01.35 01.32 01.52 00.56 02.57 02.27 03.23
Socialist Party of Ukraine	0	24 06.52	3 03.30		0	2 00.92	0	4 01.69	0	11 03.54 0	7 03.20						11 05.47	3	3	3 4 02.48 01.80	3 4 1 02.48 01.80 00.66 0	3 4 1 5 02.48 01.80 00.66 01.52	3 4 1 5 4 02.48 01.80 00.66 01.52 02.26	3 4 1 5 4 6 02.48 01.80 00.66 01.52 02.76 01.93	3 4 1 5 4 6 9 02.48 01.80 00.66 01.52 02.26 01.93 02.91	3 4 1 5 4 6 9 2 02.48 01.80 00.66 01.52 02.26 01.93 02.91 03.23	3 4 1 5 4 6 9 2 02.48 01.80 00.66 01.52 02.26 01.93 02.91 03.23	3 4 1 5 4 6 9 2 02.48 01.80 00.66 01.52 02.26 01.93 02.91 03.23
Political party "Our Ukraine"	0	00.27	2 02.20 C	1 00.35	0	3 01.38			14 12.96 0	8 02.57			29 15.26				8 8	8 13 03.98 10.74	8 13 03.98 10.74	8 13 12 03.98 10.74 05.41	8 13 12 25 03 98 10 74 05 41 16 56	8 13 12 25 03.98 10.74 05.41 16.56	8 13 12 25 03.98 10.74 05.41 16.56	8 13 12 25 03.98 10.74 05.41 16.56	8 13 12 25 4 03.98 10.74 05.41 16.56 01.20	8 13 12 25 03 98 1074 0541 1656	8 13 12 25 03 98 1074 0541 1656	8 13 12 25 03 98 1074 0541 1656
Ukrainian People's Party	0	01.09	7 07.69 0	1 00.35					21					1 00 43	00 70		00 50		12	12 1	12 1	12 1	12 1 27	12 1 27 9	12 1 27 9 2 00 00 00 AS 17 88	12 1 27 9 2 00 07 00 45 17 88 02 00 00 45	12 1 27 9 2 00 00 00 AS 17 88	12 1 27 9 2 00 07 00 45 17 88 02 00 00 45
United Center		2 00.54	01.10		0	3 2	25 32.05		0	9 02.89 0	5 02.28 0	2 00.79						01.65	01.65	2 01.65	2 01.65	2 3 01.65 01.99	2 3 1	2 3 1 30 01.65 01.99 00.56 00.65	2 3 1 30 01.65 01.99 00.56 00.65	2 3 1 30 3 01.65 01.99 00.56 00.65 00.97	2 3 1 30 3 01.65 01.99 00.56 00.65 00.97	2 3 1 30 3 01.65 01.99 00.56 00.65 00.97
"Freedom"		00.27 C	5 05.49		0	1 00.46		0	4 03.70				34 17.89			01.	8	3 00 02.48	3 00 02.48	3 02.48	3 02.48	3 8 02.48 05.30	3 02.48 05.30	3 8 2 02.48 05.30 00.64	3 8 2 02.48 05.30 00.64	8 05.30 00.64	3 8 2 02.48 05.30 00.64	3 8 2 02.48 05.30 00.64

Democratic Alliance for Reform) of Vitalyi Klytschko	Political Party "UDAR"(Ilkrainian	Political Party "Rus Unity"	Party of Justice	People's Democratic Party (NDP)	Political Party "Strength and Honor"	t arty Democratic Union	Dorth The state of	Ukrainian Social Democratic Party	Political Party "Ukrainian Platform"	Green Party of Ukraine	Civic party "PORA"	Okrainian Republican Party "Sobor"	any of velicinal	Entrepreneurs of Ukraine	Party of Industrialists and	Political Party "New Politics"	Agianan Farty of Okraine	Agrarian Party	Nationalists	"For Ukraine!" Political Party Congress of the six	Political Party	Okraine
ň	-	4	+		۲,		-	4	3,	+		9,	-	-	+						0	
	.73			+				+	+	+	-	8_			-	0		0			00.43 0	00.43
			+	+			01.10	01.10	_	+	+	1 1 00.27 01.10		01_	+	3		01.36			01.09	-
	1	+	+	+			10	.10	+	+	+	.10		01.10	+					-	-	
17.		-	+		-			T		+	+				00:	00 31	00.1			-	00.31	
			00.46	-			00.46	01.83	00.92	,					1	<u></u>	1 1 00.31 00.46				31	
							01	- W	2			01.28	01.28		T		6					
		_	00.93	-							9	03.70	1 00.93	00.93			00.93	5 04.63	01.85	2 01.85	03.70	07.41
3		-	_			1 00.32	00.32	00.64	00.96	00.32	-				20.00	3-				1 00.32		00.64
			-	+	-			-		-	+				-		1 00.46				2 00.91	
			01.05	2	-	2 01.05			00.53	00.53	+	-	01 2	0,	-	_	0.,		0: -	0.1	0.	
			8			05			53	.53	+		2 01.05	2 01.05	\vdash	-	2 1 01.05 00.43		11 05.79	11 05.79	7 2 03.68 00.87	24 12.63
										1	\dagger			1 00.29			3.			1 00.29	.87	
					1								3 01.49	1 29 00.50	00.50	_	1 00.50			29	4 01.99	
1				T							T	1	9	0	O		ö				99	01.65
						00.45	00.45														2 00.90	_55
						1				02.65	00.00	3-			01.32	2	00.66				2 0 01.32	11 07.28
									00.30				1 00.30	1 00.30							1 00.30	
		1 2 1 00.56 00.64 00.32		_	1												00.56					1 00.56
		200.64		_	1							1		1 00.32			_				10 03.22	
		1 00.32		+	-					0	-	4			00.65	2	_	0			1 00.32	
				01	+	-	8.,			01.61	-	+	-	0		+	_	1 01.61			5 3 08.06 00.83	
				01.38	31		2 00.55					+	-	1 00.28			+				3	
							·															
4	4 00.070	4 00.070	00.070	00.087	5	5	6	7 00.122	7 00.122	7 00.122	00.139	8	8 8	9 00.157	00.174	10	10	11 00.192	13 00.226	15 00.261	49 00.854	49 00.854

27/48

Slavic Party		01.24							
Party of Motherland Defenders				01	2 01.05		+	3-	00.070
Party "Reforms and Order"			2 00.85	00.32			+	00.32	
Political Party All-Ukrainian Association "Hromada"		3 01.05		00:54			-		
Political Party "The European Party of Ukraine"			1 1	2			+		
Political Party "Conscience of Ukraine"	00 27		Const	OI.			-		
	3 00.27				01.00	00			
	11.30								
Democratic Fairy of Oktaine						00.66		1 00.32	
Youth Party of Ukraine					2 01.00	00			
wer"	-				00.43	00.83			
	00.43							1 00.32	
Political Party "Civil Position"						00.66		00 1	
Political Party "Hometown"						2			
Republican Christian Party			-			00.90	-		
Ukraine	-		00.93	00.53	53				
	00.43						00.56		
Chemobyl. Ukraine. "					00.29			23	
Ukrainian Party "Unity"	2 00.54							00:54	
Ukrainian Republican Party	2 00.54								
Democratic Party of Hungarians of			-				+		0
National Environmental Porto	+		01.28						0
The of section of the city							00.56		0
any of revival of the village				00.46					

Party of Free Democrats Party "Public Power"			-					0 1	_											00.32	1,0		
Party "For Human Rights"			-		\top			20.02	_	+	+	+	+	+		1	T				_		
Party "National-Democratic		-	-	1	T			_	-	+	+	+	+	-							01.61	6	.61
Association "Ukraine"		01.10	_																				
"Party of Peasants"									4	-	+	-	+	7	T					1		. 3 a.	
(C)		+	+	+			L	_		-				00.83	ü								
rarty "Christian-Democratic Union"			_								8 _	00 43											
Political Party "All-Ukrainian Patriotic Union"							-	4		+	- 8	- 8		-	\dagger	T					T	1	
Political Party "People's Labour		+						-		-	-	-		00.83	u								
n of Ukraine "									<u> </u>	00.40													
environmental rescue ECO +25%"					01.78			-	-	+	+	1	+	1	1								
Political Party "Party of Local Governments"		4	+					+	+	-	+	+	\dagger										
al Party "Party of Human		+	-					-	90	00.40	_												
Rights"										8.	0 1												
Political Party "Party of strong leadership"		-	+					-	_	2	20.	+	1										
al Party "I Inion of Lobins"		+	+					0	00.46	-		-											
Community Comon of lettists			+						a								00.30						
rollical Party "Fair Country"		00.35	.35							-		-											
Peasant Party of Ukraine .							-	+	+	+	+		-	T								L	L
		+	-			_	-	-					00.50	0									
Okrainian party "Green Planet"								_		-				1									
	231 368	91 287	7 323	218	78	236	108 3	11 2	311 219 252	2 190	0 230	350	201	121	222	151	320	177	2	300	3	1	+
	CONTRACTOR	Section of the sectio	-			_				_		_		0.000 d.00	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		,		21.1	202	20		200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200

on the results of elections to the Verkhovna Rada of the Autonomous Republic of Crimea, local councils (including re-election and re-and intermediate elections as of 16 February 2011) The Generalized Data

	5		14	13	1	3 3	= ;	5	9	00	7	0	7	S	4	u	, ,	3	_	p/p	36	
	Odessa region	M Jaouay Iv Tegion	Mukalanin	Lviv region	Lunansk region	ranovoinau region	Viscont :	Kviv racion	Ivano-Frankivsk ragion	Zaporizzhya region	Zakarpattya region	Zuytomyr region	71-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	Donetck region	Dnepropetrovsk region	Volyn region	v mnytsya region	Crimea	Autonomous Republic of		Autonomous Republic of	
	517	333		731	354	439	685	201	521	320	351	656	413		380	429	735	313	2	councils	Number of	
	517	333	10,	731	354	439	685	231	520	320	351	656	413	000	081	429	735	315		the deputies	The number of	
	10117	5974	13337	12047	7449	7553	12533	10262	6110	6110	7467	11027	9462	2000	0533	7662	13020	7052		mandates	Number of	1. Infor
	10107	5974	13949	1200	7442	7543	12527	10245	6119		7456	11000	9445	0200	0520	7654	13011	7045		elected	Den. t.	1. Information on the elected MPs
	6063	4038	3/89	3	5380	3996	5637	2417	3999		1589	4124	7542	07/20	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	2909	4828	4470	Lotal	ratues members	Dadi.	the elec
	59.99	67.59	27.16		72.29	52.98	45.00	23.59	65.35		21.31	37.49	79.85	77.12		38.01	37.11	63.45	%	nemoers		ted MP
	4806	3292	4767	i	3912	3905	5767	3617	3155		2499	5848	4507	4068		3808	6261	3380	Total	women		<i>5.</i>
	47.55	55.11	34.17		52.57	51.77	46.04	35.31	51.56		33.52	53.16	47.72	47.71		49.75	48.12	47.98	%	nen		
	708	397	2283		488	542	1165	1864	326	3	1032	934	606	566		863	813	524	Total	∪p to 30 years old		
	7.01	6.65	16.37		6.56	7.19	9.30	18.19	5.33		13.84	8.49	6.42	6.64		11.28	6.25	7.44	%) years		
560CSD-07CSD	8693	5170	11079		6281	6465	10610	7895	5309	0000	9609	9444	7915	7369		6505	11392	5987	Total	30-60 years old	By age	
	86.01	86.54	79.43		84 40	85.71	84.70	77.06	86.76	01.70	81 76	85.85	83.80	86.43		84.99	87.56	84.98	%	ars old	je .	
-	706	407	587	+	673	536	751	486	484	920	370	622	924	591	100	286	806	534	Total	More then 60 years old		
000		6.81	4.21	9.04		7.11	6.00	4.74	7.91	4.40	0.00	2 72	9.78	6.93	3.74		6.19	7.58	%	old (

30/48

	27			_	24	23	77		21	20	3 3	70 6	18	17	10
Lotal	City of Sevastopol		City of Kyiv	Chernihiv region	Chernivtsi region	Charkasy region	Anmeinytsk region	71.1.	Kherson region	Allarkiv region	The die	Temoril region	Sumuracion	Rivne region	Poltava region
12088	===	-	1	504	786	579	626	7.50	nce	486	633	438		382	534
12086	=		394	200	200	570	626	07.0	220	486	632	438		382	534
225244	414	c	9621	5/66	5000	0066	10754	0194	6101	9214	11033	7434		7147	9626
224896	414		9617	5/63	9/40	77.50	10738	0619	· · · · ·	9205	11007	7427	111	7144	9602
104866	350		5074	1830	4800	2000	4211	3847		6190	1986	2898	07.67	2526	3732
46.63	84.54		52.76	31.75	49.93		39.22	62.15		67.25	18.04	39.02	00.00	25 26	38.87
105259	149		5307	2073	4957		5383	3384		4524	4094	3840	341/	2417	4539
46.80	35.99		55.18	35.97	50.86		50.13	54.67		49.15	37.19	51.70	47.83	47.00	47.27
21707	39		647	693	566		877	417		647	2815	489	845		561
9.65	9.42		6.73	12.02	5.81		8.17	6.74		7.03	25.57	6.58	11.83		5.84
189436	325		8316	4709	8560		9292	5280		7888	7784	6525	6052		8495
84.23	78.50		86.47	81.71	87.83		86.53	85.30		85.69	70.72	87.86	84.71		88.47
13751	50		654	360	620		569	493		670	408	413	247		546
611	12.0 8		6.80	6.25	6.36	5.30		7.96	1.28	1 20	3.71	5.56	3.46	0.00	5 69 5

elections of deputies of the Supreme Council (Verkhovna Rada) of the Autonomous republic of Crimea, regional, district, on the number of deputies elected on the nomination by local party organizations in multiseat electoral districts during 2. Information

city and city districts councils

Olitical Party "UDAR" (Ukrainian Democratic Alliance for Reform) of All-Ukrainian Union ("Fatherland") party olitical Party All-Ukrainian Union Freedom" The name of the party, local organization of which have nominated candidates for multisca electoral district deputies arty of regions ocialist Party of Ukraine olitical Party "Strong Ukraine olitical Party Front of Changes olitical party "Our Ukraine" 01.39 Autonomous Republic of Crimea 00.14 27 03.77 62 08.65 460 64.1 04.02 05.85 37 03.38 300 27.42 00.37 29 02.01 09.1 331 10 Vinnytsya region 39 54 08.57 30 04.76 218 34.60 16 02.54 66 10.48 02.06 43 06.83 111 17.62 Volyn region 18 01.35 00.60 00.1 134 10.0 108 191 690 51.57 136 **Dnipropetrovsk region** 00.52 00.23 75 04.31 219 12.57 30 01.72 01.09 1347 77.32 Donetsk region 00.10 84 08.80 71 07.43 18 12 01.26 71 07.4: 21 02.20 24 02.5 215 267 27.96 108 Zhytomyr region 50 08.59 8 01.37 30.70 12 02.06 13 02.23 29 04.98 145 24.91 71 12.2 Zakarpattya region **Total** 12 01.84 01.07 61 09.34 12 01.84 376 57.58 84 12.86 88 13.4 Zaporizzhya region number of deputies (percentage), elected on the nomination by local party organizations 24 02.87 17 02.03 01.08 2 00.24 00.60 156 18.64 78 09.32 101 12.07 148 17.68 152 18.16 Ivano-Frankivsk region 23 01.83 00.40 26 02.07 131 10.42 36 02.86 57 04.53 09.15 7 00.56 140 484 38.50 130 Kyiv region 01.77 61 08.29 35 04.76 60 08.15 55 07.47 19 02.58 00.82 00.1 162 298 40.49 Kirovohrad region 00.88 00.26 00.09 15 01.32 05.81 237 20.80 00.09 768 67.61 Luhansk region 16 01.07 2 00.13 20 00.33 171 11.42 34 02.27 07.35 235 15.70 390 26.0 175 Lviv region 04.40 00.55 00.92 19 03.48 43 07.88 292 53.48 78 14.29 70 12.82 Mykolaiv region 89 08.92 74 07.41 66 00.90 56 05.61 54 05.41 83 08.32 51.00 Odessa region 64 06.74 23 02.42 7 00.74 38 04.00 62 06.53 01.79 91 99.58 218 22.95 318 33.47 Poltava region 01.85 00.50 9 01.51 49 31 05.21 00.84 54 09.08 40 06.72 163 27.39 69 117 Rivne region 26 03.48 00.80 25 03.34 41 05.48 69 09.22 01.87 00.13 74 09.89 175 23.40 263 35.16 Sumy region 14 01.53 16 01.75 2 00.22 00.76 01.42 215 23.47 94 10.26 96 10.48 178 19.4 13 169 18.45 Ternopil region 484 55.06 01.1 20 02.28 26 02.96 59 06.71 130 114 12.97 Kharkiv region 39 17 02.87 2 00.3 102 17.23 26 04.39 274 46.28 3 12 02.0 90 15.20 Kherson region 19 02.09 2 00.22 7 00.77 26 02.8 63 39 04.30 07.2 240 26.4 266 29.33 20 107 11.80 Kmelnytskyi region 5 00.57 31 03.52 39 04.43 51 05.80 60 06.82 2 00.2 8 00.91 30 03.41 6.1 220 25.00 312 35.45 Cherkasy region 01.93 01.23 46 08.08 20 03.5 124 21.79 138 24.25 00.53 20 03.5 160 28.12 20 Chernivtsi region 20 2 00.2 91 24 02.60 00.9 00.5 58 70 06.39 235 25.4 32.2 9 Chernihiv region City of Kyiv 27 18.75 68 47.22 City of Sevastopol 286 01.253 488 02.138 721 03.159 742 03.251 1603 07.024 01.328 1016 04.452 1225 05.368 1834 08.036 3721 16.305 39.415 303 TOTAL

w

Tombourtary and only	Political Party "Due Illaite	Green Party of Ukraine	Civic party "PORA"	"Union" Party	Ukrainian Republican Party Sobor	Ukraine	Political party "For Ukraine!"	Nationalists of Ukraine	Political Party "Native city"	Ukrainian Party "Unity"	Ukraine"	Party of Renewal	Entrepreneurs of Ukraine	Party of Industrialists and	Ukraine	Political party: People's Movement of	The name of the party, local organization of which have nominated candidates for multiseat electoral district deputies	
38 05.30			05.44	30			-								09.62		Autonomous Republic of Crimea	
-	00.27	,	4		9	2 00.18				06.67	01.37	2 00.18	3 00.27	00.46	0		Vinnytsya region	
	7				5 00 79	1 00.16	00.79			7	7 00.32	.00	.7	6 01.90	0	5	Volyn region	
	00.30					_6	9 00.15				2			8_	79	-	Dnipropetrovsk region	
	0		T	t		2 00.11	S				1					1	Donetsk region	
	00.42			T					7 00.73				00.21	12 01.26	00.10	- 2	Zhytomyr region	
	2	00.17		00.5	2				13			01.89	122	6 01.03	5		Zakarpattya region	.,
		7		1	+				3 00.46			30		3_			Zaporizzhya region	Total number of deputies (percentage), elected on the nomination by local party organizations
		00.12		00.84	37	4 00.48	00.48	01.08	- 5			16 01.91		33 03.94	04.90	_	vano-Frankivsk region	umber
	00.88			4		8 00.32	6 8 00.48	- ×	00.32			21	5 00.40	4 00.32	90	1	Kyiv region	of dep
	- 00	4	1			2	· 60		12			00.54	3 10 00.41	1 32 00.14		ŀ	Kirovohrad region	uties (p
			1	+	1							54	7 00.62	14		+	uhansk region	ercent
	2 00.13	26 01.74		8	3=	30 02.00	17 01.14	42 02.81				9 00.60	15 62 01.00	35 02.34	06.61	_	viv region	tage), e
	4 13 00.73	74	\vdash	/3		06	14	81				60	00	2 34 00.37	61		Mykolaiv region	lected
	1 73 00.10		-	t	+	10000	2 00.20						7 00.70	4 37 00.40			Odessa region	on the
	1 10 00.11		-	8	2		20		01:		05.0	00.	.70 00.42			-	Poltava region	nomin
	.11 00.67	0 w		.21	+		00.		13 01.37		54 05.68	84	.42		02 -	_		ation t
	.67	3 00.50	-	-	+	0	17		0.3			84	0	11 01.85 0	02.18	1 80	Rivne region	y loca
		9	_	0		1 00.13	53	0	33 04.41			1 00.13	4 00.53	00.13	0.		umy region	l party
		2 00.22		0.44	4		00.44	3 00.33				0	0	49 05.35	02.62	T	ernopil region	organ
				-	4						-	18 02.05	6 00.68	100.11		K	Charkiv region	ization
	00.17										2 00.34		_			K	Cherson region	SI
	_			L	1		00.11						00.44	18 3 01.98 00.34		K	Kmelnytskyi region	
	2 00.23	2 00.23		L	4								2 00.23	3			herkasy region	
				L									4 2 2 16 00.44 00.23 00.35 01.73	2 5 1 00.35 00.54	2 00.35	C	hernivtsi region	
	00.11												16 01.73	5 00.54			hernihiv region	
																C	ity of Kyiv	
																Ci	ity of Sevastopol	
38 00.167	38 00.167	38 00.167	39 00.171	00.175	40	44 00.193	46 00.202	54 00.237	60 00.263	73 00.320	73 00.320	74 00.324	80 00.351	214 00.938	263 01.152	T	OTAL	

1	00.14 2 6 00.24 00.48 00.92 00.18 00.29 00.61 00.08 00.14 00.53 00.95 00.95 00.46 00.24 00.24 00.27 18 00.95 00.46 00.24 00.27	ad Defenders 2 6 5 4 1 1 8 00.92 5 ad Order" 00.18 00.29 00.61 00.08 00.14 00.53 00.53 ad Order" 6 00.95 00.46 00.24 00.24 00.27	nd Defenders 2 .5 .00.14 .00.92 .00.61 .00.92 .00.92 .00.61 .00.93 .00.93 .00.94 .00.95 .00.95 .00.94 .00.95 .00.9	nd Defenders 2 .5 .90.18 .00.29 .00.61 .00.80 .0.14 .00.53	1 Defenders 2 00.18 00.29 00.61 00.08 00.14 00.93 5 00.93	00.14 00.24 00.48 00.24 00.48	00.14 2 6 00.24 00.48			00.31		26	15 00.27 01.00		K-MASS: Farty of Hungarians of Ukraine " 32	Chemobyl, Ukraine. " 2 00.16	Socio-Ecological Party "Alliance. 00.27 00.17 00.95 00.27	Volyn region Dnipropetrovsk region Donetsk region Zhytomyr region Zakarpattya region Zaporizzhya region Ivano-Frankivsk region Kyiv region Kirovohrad region Luhansk region Lviv region Mykolaiv region	
	-		01.0	1	00.10	-	1	3 00.32		00.74	1					1 00.11	00.21	Odessa region	
								2		4			2 00.34			-	122	Rivne region by	
						00.13	-			00.27								Sumy region	
			-			-	1			00.87	00.22	2	6					Ternopil region	
+	-		+	-	00	-	+	0		00.23	+	-	0					Kharkiv region	
1	+		-	_	00.17	-	-	9 01.52			-	-	1 00.17 0					Kherson region 5	
+	_		-			0	+			0	-	-	3 00.33 0			00.44	01.43	Kmelnytskyi region	
+	-		_			00.23	2			3 00.34		_	00.11			26 02.95	2 00.23	Cherkasy region	
-	\downarrow		00.35	2		_	4											Chernivtsi region	
01.03	5 2						1											Chernihiv region	
																		City of Kyiv	
					19 13.19				9 06.25									City of Sevastopol	
00.079	18	18 00.079	00.083	19	23 00.101	00.105	24			28 00.123	00.127	29	31 00.136	00.140	33	33 00.145	37 00.162	TOTAL	

,	Party "Civic Solidarity"	Democratic Party of Ukraine	Political Party "Truth"	People's Democratic Party (NDP)	(united)	Social democratic Both of the	Okrainian Chornobyl People's Party "For the welfare and social protection of people"	Association "Society"	n ity of Justice	Party of Luction	Political Party "Ukrainian Platform"	Some Demociatic Fally	Ukrainian Social Democratic Posts	Political Party "Civil Position	Party "FAMILY"	ally of Fensioners of Ukraine	The name of the party, local organization of which have nominated candidates for multiseat electoral district deputies	
																2 00.28	Autonomous Republic of Crimea	
																	Vinnytsya region	
									00.16	00.3	2	00.16					Volyn region	
					1 00.07			13 00.97	6	2		6					Dnipropetrovsk region	
					7 00.1			7									Donetsk region	
			00.10	-	2 2 00.11 00.21	00.21	12 01.26		00.10	01.3	13						Zhytomyr region	
				T		_	- 6		0	6					1		Zakarpattya region	T
														T	1		Zaporizzhya region	Total number of deputies (percentage), elected on the nomination by local party organizations
			00.24	3											1		Ivano-Frankivsk region	mber
		00.80		\neg	1 00.08							4 00.32				2 00.16	Kyiv region	of depu
			00.27		<u> </u>				2 00.27		1	2			1	6	Kirovohrad region	ties (p
			7	\neg	2 00.18						1					1 00.09	Luhansk region	ercenta
					~				3 00.26 00.20				00.13			9	Lviv region	ge), ele
00.18									0				ω		1		Mykolaiv region	ected or
-														01.70	17	00.60	Odessa region	1 the n
						7 00.74								1	ľ	0	Poltava region	ominat
						4					1						Rivne region	ion by
						3 00.40	3					4 00.53			1		Sumy region	local p
	00.66					0					T	ω	4 00.44		\dagger		Ternopil region	arty or
	5			T								4 00.46	_4_	-	100	00 3 34	Kharkiv region	ganiza
					1 00.17				2 00.34		1	ν			Ť	4	Kherson region	tions
					7				2 4 00.22						90:	3 -	Kmelnytskyi region	
	3 00.34		00.34										8 8		1		Cherkasy region	
					2 00.35								8 2 00.91 00.35		1		Chernivtsi region	
8 00.87			2 00.22								00.0.	00 3	<u> </u>		00.4	2	Chernihiv region	
											1	-	-		1		City of Kyiv	
																	City of Sevastopol	ы
9 00.039	9 00.039	10 00.044	10 00.044	00.00	11	12 00.053	12 00.053	13 00.057	14	00.066	15	16	16 00.070	00.074	10.00	17	TOTAL	

Powers"	Political Party "Union of Left	Political Party "People's Self-Defense	Political party "Green"	any One Oktaine	Party "One I Iteraina"	Party for Human Rights	-	Party	Party "Solidarity of Women of	"All-Ukrainian Political Union	The Party of Women for the Fitting	Party of Free Democrats	rational Elivironmental Party	Notice of Facility	All-Ukrainian Party "New Power"	Political Party "Party of Local Self- Government"	Children of War	The name of the party, local organization of which have nominated candidates for multiseat electoral district deputies	
							00.56	4										Autonomous Republic of Crimea	
	00.18	١,															3 00.27	Vinnytsya region	
																		Volyn region	
							00.22	a l										Dnipropetrovsk region	
00.11										00.06								Donetsk region	
																		Zhytomyr region	
																		Zakarpattya region	T
00.15																		Zaporizzhya region	Total number of deputies (percentage), elected on the nomination by local party organi
																1		Ivano-Frankivsk region	mber
		00.40	7			00.40						T		00.24		T	3 00.24	Kyiv region	of depu
								7 00.95						-			4	Kirovohrad region	ities (po
327															00.70	•		Luhansk region	ercenta
	3 00.20								00.0	3 -				4 00.27		T		Lviv region	ge), ele
														7				Mykolaiv region	ected o
			00.50	S					0.0	5		T	1			\dagger		Odessa region	n the n
										,		T	7			T		Poltava region	omina
													1					Rivne region	tion by
			1	7								r	+			1		Sumy region	local
			T	7								T	+					Ternopil region	party o
			T	\dagger								t	+			+		Kharkiv region	rganiz
				\top	-	00.17						00.68	4			+		Kherson region	izations
			-	1	-	17						68 00.33	w			+		Kmelnytskyi region	
				+							7 00.80	33					2 00.23	Cherkasy region	
2 00.35				-	6						80		+	-		+	23	Chernivtsi region	
35				- 6	05								-			+	\dashv	Chernihiv region	
			1	+	-							-	+			+			
										\dashv			+	-		+	-	City of Sayastanal	
9	0	0	0		_	0	0	0	0	\dashv	0	0	+			-	_	City of Sevastopol	-
5 00.022	5 00.022	5 0.022	0.022	5	900	6 0.026	7 0.031	7 00.031	00.031	7	7 00.031	0.031	7	7	8 00.035	0.000	8 8	TOTAL	

	environmental rescue "ECO +25%" Political Party "Right Will of Ukraine	Political Party "Party of	Political Party Liberal Ukraine	Political Party "All-Ukrainian	Patriotic Party of Ukraine		Party "Civic Power"	Union of Ukraine" Political party "Fair Country."	Enterprises of Ukraine Political Party "People's Labour	Political Party of Small and Medium	Political party "Motherland"	Youth Party of Ukraine	Ukrainian Party of Honor, fighting	The name of the party, local organization of which have nominated candidates for multiscat electoral district deputies	
								00.28						Autonomous Republic of Crimea	
														Vinnytsya region	
									11.					Volyn region	
	00.07					00.22	00.30							Dnipropetrovsk region	
														Donetsk region	
											00.21			Zhytomyr region	
	00.34										-		†	Zakarpattya region	1
														Zaporizzhya region	Total number of deputies (percentage), elected on the nomination by local party organ
													+	Ivano-Frankivsk region	umber
		00.24											1	Kyiv region	of dep
		4												Kirovohrad region	ıties (p
								2 00.18						Luhansk region	ercenta
3 00.20													00.33	Lviv region	age), el
0													<u> </u>	Mykolaiv region	ected o
				00.3	ω				2 00.20		2 00.20		1	Odessa region	n the n
				- 0	,				<u> </u>		0		1	Poltava region	omina
		1	3 00.50											Rivne region	tion by
			0		7					-				Sumy region	local _l
				\dagger	+		-							Ternopil region	arty o
				1	1									Kharkiv region	rganiza
				\dagger	1					00.68				Kherson region	izations
				1	+				2 00.22	8				Kmelnytskyi region	
				+	+				22					Cherkasy region	8
				+	1								1	Chernivtsi region	
				+	+									Chernihiv region	
					+									City of Kyiv	
												5 03.47	+	City of Sevastopol	
0.	8,	0,	8	8		8.	00 .	8.	8.	8.	00 .		8	- ot.	
3 00.013	3 00.013	3 00.013	3 00.013	.013	ω I	3	4 00.018	4 00.018	4 00.018	00.018	4 00.018	5 00.022	5 00.022	TOTAL	

		1	1	1	1	1	-	Total number of deputies (percentage), elected on the nomination by local party organizations	numbe	r of de	puties	(perce	ntage)), elect	ed on	the no	minati	on by I	ocal pa	rty or	ganizat	ions							
The name of the party, local organization of which have nominated candidates for multiseat electoral district deputies	Autonomous Republic of Crimea	Vinnytsya region	Volyn region	Dnipropetrovsk region	Donetsk region	Zhytomyr region	Zakarnattya region	Zaporizzhya region	Ivano-Frankivsk region	Kyiv region	Viscos based and the	Kirovohrad region	Luhansk region	Lviv region	Mykolaiv region	Odessa region	Poltava region	Rivne region	Sumy region	Ternopil region	Charkiv region	Cherson region	Cmelnytskyi region	Cherkasy region	Chernivtsi region	Chernihiv region	City of Kyiv		City of Sevastopol
All-Ukrainian Party of People's Trust											-	-					1	1	5	7	1	I	I	(((C	-	0
"All-Ukrainian political party - Ecology and Social Protection"						1		+	+			+												.				-	
Party Political Association "Native				1	1			-	+	-		-												00.23					
Motherland"																					2 00.23								
Party "Viche" (Council)									\neg	2 00.16	16		_	_															
rarty reople power"						00.10	10			-	-	-	-	_									3 -					+	
Party "Rus"							7	2	=		-	+	-										00.1					_	
"Party of Peasants"					\top	1	+		-	+	-	-	+	-		2												+	
Political Party "War Child" People's						+	\dagger	+		+	+	-	-	1	_	00.20												—	1
rty UKRAINE "					00.06	_6_				00.08	08																		
Political Party "Party of human rights"													0	2 00.13															
Political Party Conciliar Ukraine									-	+			0	0013	_														
Okrainian party "Green Planet"												\dashv	-	_				2											
Christian Liberal Party of Ukraine				2 00.15	Si .						1	+		_				00:54											
Liberal Party of Ukraine					00.06	6																							
Liberal Democratic Party of Ukraine	3-							1		\dagger	\dagger	+	+	+	1													1	

Kherson region Kherson region	Kharkiv region
Rivne region Sumy region Ternopil region Kharkiv region Kherson region	Kharkiv region Kherson region Kmelnytskyi region Cherkasy region Chernivtsi region
Kharkiv region Kherson region	Kharkiv region O Kherson region Kmelnytskyi region Cherkasy region Chernivtsi region
	Cherkasy region Chernivtsi region

3. Information on the number of deputies elected in single-and single-seat constituencies for the nomination of local party organizations

Party "Vidrodzhennya"	remain raily of Oktaine	Ukraine	Political party People's Movement of	Political Party "Front for Changes"	"Freedom"	Political Party All-Ukrainian Union	Political Party "Our Ukraine"	Socialist Party of Ukraine	Follucal Party "Strong Ukraine"	Date: 15	Communict Boats of I	People's Port.	Political Party All-Ukrainian	Party of regions	The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single-seat constituencies	
	\dagger		38	00.05		1	1	00.05	01.11	03.36	00.62	00.07	3 89.4	3620	Autonomous Republic of	
00.02	00.33	10000		5 00.68	00.22	00.37	00.20	10					45 61.51	0 3956	Crimea Vinnytsya region	
2 00.51	10		5 04.31			1 850	2	-			4	35.01		6 135	Volyn region	1
	00.07	2 2	1 00.05	2 00.43	-	4 00.05	0		0			01 03.77	35 81.57	4956	Dnipropetrovsk region	1
	0	7	100	3 00.20		5 00.10	0	0 00.45	_	_	The second	77 00.51			Donetsk region	
	2 00.61	00.05	00.44	0	00.19		00.80	-	1 -	1 10000				4 2214	Zhytomyr region	1
01.96	00.06	-	4 00.70		9 00.19		34 0 02.15	3 01.20	106	Towns.	12	4 Care	-	4 810	Zakarpattya region	Tot
J.	00.04	,	00.04	0	6	9- 00.08	5	63	1 04.84		7	or I my Shirman		3721	Zaporizzhya region	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and
49 02.33	00.71	05.70	1	0.000	169 08.03		272 12.93	10 3 00.48	42 4 02.00	2 00.05	1 10.27		-	244	Ivano-Frankivsk region	ber of
	00.33	-		-53	33 00.60	226 04.11	63 01.15	212 8 03.86	508 0 09.24	5 01.18	100250	- CA		2302	Kyiv region	elected
5 00.11	00.39	10	0	10	00.04	63	6 00.13	139	150	426 8 09.30				2013	Kirovohrad region	memb
			00.04	00.05		7 00.13	- G	16 00.29	215 7 03.91	07.95	+4	1000	3 86.08		Luhanks region	ers, noi
88 03.02	8 00.27	05.18	104 03.57	118 04.05	646 22.15	16 00.55	356 12.20	9 00.31	89 1 03.05	5	93 4 03.19		8 05.25		Lviv region	minate
	7 00.16	14 00.32	7 00.16	41 00.95	- OI	28 00.65	9 00.21	62 1 01.43	116 5 02.68	418 09.65	9 04.53	7 04.64	5 73.74	_	Mykolayiv region	d by lo
00.02	11 00.17	00.03	00.17	35 00.53		5 00.08	15 00.23	423 06.46	107 8 01.63	170 5 02.60	3 09.30	441	4 70.21		Odessa region	cal par
28 00.65	122 02.82	00.02	63 01.46	88 02.04	30 00.69	30 00.69	45 01.04	309 07.15	139 03.22	442 10.22	05.11	306 4 07.08	1 53.48	_	Poltava region	ty orga
4 00.15	10 00.37	20 00.74	225 08.29	14 00.52	40 01.47	36 01.33	210 07.74	41 01.51	109 04.02	48 2 01.77	897	470 8 17.32	8 19.54	-	Rivne region	nizatio
13 00.29	6 00.13	4 00.09	6 00.13	34 00.75		19 00.42	154 03.40	67 01.48	92 02.03	334 07.37	226 04.99	789 17.41	4 58.99		Sumy region	ns (nur
4 00.23	9 00.52	59 03.38	164 09.39	43 02.46	164 09.39	39 02.23		30 01.72	21 01.20	13 00.74	169	408 23.37	12.66	-	Ternopil region	nber and p
126 01.80	41 00.59		2 00.03	9 00.13		3 00.04	0	125 01.79	216 03.09	328 04.70	250 03.58	518 07.42	5292		Kharkiv region	고
	16 00.37	7 00.16	5 00.12	5 00.12		21 00.49		35 00.82	112 02.61	655	186 04.34	404	2725 63.52		Kherson region	ercentage)
	2 00.04	00.08	45 00.88	105 02.05	37 00.72		23 00.45	115 02.24	208 04.05	273 05.32	1851 36.07	278 05.42	1724		Khmelnytskyi region	
	27 00.60	1 00.02	43 00.95	26 00.58	4 00.09	36 00.80	92 02.04	190 04.20	91 02.01	238 05.27	306 06.77	536 11.86	2737		Cherkasy region	ľ
5 00.28	5 00.28	5 00.28	24 01.36	134 07.57	8 00.45	17 00.96	82 04.63	30 01.69		55 03.11	117 06.61	460 25.97	705 39.81	_	Chernivsti region	ercentage)
	2		81 01.34	38 00.63			16 00.26			281 04.65	567 09.38	628 10.38	3611 59.71		Chernihiv region	
														Т	City of Kyiv	
								2 00.78	3	18 07.06	42 16.47	1 00.39	171 67.06	(City of Sevastopol	
365	386 00.352	511 00.466	1165 01.063	1172 01.069	1266 01.155	1284 01.171	1734	2577		5947 05.424	8649 07.888	9900 09.029	66960		TOTAL	

People's Democratic Party (NDP)	Ukrainian Republican Party	Ukrainian Republican Party "Sobor"	Ukraine"	Political Party "Conscience of	Ukrainian Party "Unity"	Party "Democratic Union'	Democratic Alliance for Reform) of Vitalyi Klytschko	Green Party of Ukraine	Oxiainian party	Till Clark	Civic party "POR A"	Ukrainian Social Democratic Party	Nationalists	Entrepreneurs of Ukraine	Party of Industrialist	Political Party "For Ukraine"	Political party "New Politics"	The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single-seat constituencies	
Party (NDP)	Party	Party "Sobor"		cience of	ζ.	nion"	for Reform) of	ne Brot n				mocratic Party	ress of Ukrainian	raine	e and	Ukraine"	Politics"	ne party, local which have idates in single, nstituencies	
00.02							00.02										00.02	Autonomous Republic of Crimea	
4 00.06	01.06	0	-	01.94	00.26	17	10 00.16	00.09	00.65	45		6 00.09	00.11	00.06			91 01.42	Vinnytsya region	
10 00.51	8 00.41	01.78	00.05	-			4 00.20	00.10	00.46			10		00.51	00.30	6		Volyn region	
					00.02	-		00.16							00.02	-		Dnipropetrovsk region	
																	00.03	Donetsk region	
300.07	2 00.05	00.15			00.05	2	4 00.10	00.07			90.50	37	00.02	00.07	00.15	6		Zhytomyr region	
		00.38					12 00.76		00.06	00.70	1 00.00	10						Zakarpattya region	Total
				1				00.02			00.00	4		00.19			10 00.21	Zaporizzhya region	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and percentage)
59 02.80	5 00.24	30 01.43			00.05	-	8 00.38	00.29	03.18	00.24	2 00.10	2	49 02.33	00.14	01.05	22		Ivano-Frankivsk region	r of eld
59 4 11 02.80 00.07 00.24	6 00.11	00.07	00.04	00.02	00.02	-	56 01.02	40 00.73	00.05	00.22	13 00.13	7	00.02	00.16	00.40		20 00.36	Kyiv region	cted m
11 00.24								3 00.07		00.02	1	3-1		00.07			52 01.13	Kirovohrad region	ember
								00.02			00.07			9 00.16			3 00.05	Luhanks region	s, nom
4	16 00.55	12 00.41			01.13	ಜ	2 00.07	5 00.17	00.31	00.96	30	13	145 04.97	43 01.47	03.94	115		Lviv region	inated
		00.02			00.02	-		11 00.25			20.00	-			100000			Mykolayiv region	by loca
								8 00.12	00.02					25 00.38	00.14	9		Odessa region	party
		00.09	84 01.94								00.23	10		6 00.14	10	00	4 00.09	Poltava region	organ
					00.22	6	6	15 00.55	00.04		00.22	6		4 00.15	10	ω		Rivne region	ization
	1 00.02				00.04	2	1 00.02				00.02	-	11000	00.09	00.42	19		Sumy region	s (num
00.06	00.06	3 00.17			00.34	6			00.06	06.41	00.34		7 00.40	2 00.11	00.11	2	00.06	Ternopil region	ber an
00 01					00.03	2			0		00.03	2		10 00.14				Kharkiv region	d perce
	4		00.05		00.68	29		3 00.07	1 1 0.01 00.02		00.03 00.02	-					3 00.07	Kherson region	entage)
	1 00.02	3 00.06			00.45	23	28 00.55	1 00.02	00.02		00.04	2		31 00.60	00.06	3	18 00.35	Khmelnytskyi region	
2					00.04	3	00.02	4 00.09	00.02	00.04	00.40	18		11 00.24			30 00.66	Cherkasy region	
2 2 1								12 00.68		00.06	00.79	14		2 00.11				Chernivsti region	
8-1							2 00.03	4 00.07			00.30		00.02	24 00.40			00.02	Chernihiv region	
																		City of Kyiv	
5								1 00.39										City of Sevastopol	
- 1	112	123 00.112	123 00.112	00.115	00.115	136			138 00.126	172 00.157	00.158	173	211	212 00.193	00.197	216	236	TOTAL	

Political Party "Ridne misto"	Party of revival of the village	Fornical party CIVII POSITION"	Dalification (Co. 15) 0.005	33	Socio-Ecological Party "Alliance.	Political Parry "Rus Unity" 65 01.61	Party "The Union" 65 01.61	Political Party "Ukrainian Platform" 00.15		of Uname" 00.05 00.10		00.03 00.05	Party "People's Power"	Party "Reforms and Order" 00.02 00.14 00.46	Christian-Democratic Union 00.44 00.02	28 1	Party "The Justice" 2 1 4	The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single-seat constituencies Autonomous Republic of Crimea Vinnytsya region Volyn region Dnipropetrovsk region Donetsk region	
00.15			00.06	_				00.75	00.13			00.10	4			00.50	15	Zhytomyr region Zakarpattya region	Tot
		00 -						0.	ω	00.02		00.11 00	S	38 00.80	00.04	,		Zaporizzhya region	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and
00 00	25 00.45	00.33 00.13	00.05	00.02	-	-		4 5 00.19 00.09	00.02	16 4 00.76 00.07		00.05 00.02		9 00.16	00.04	9	3	Ivano-Frankivsk region Kyiv region	of elected
5	18 5 00.39	w	00.04	, 2	1)9	22	07		02		1 16 00.02	04	05 00.22		Kirovohrad region	l membe
																1	,	Luhanks region	rs, non
		00.17						7 00.24		9 00.31				11 00.38	35 01.20	00.38	=	Lviv region	inated
												00.37	16			00.02 00.02	-	Mykolayiv region	by loca
		00.05	2	00.03	,				00.06	2 00.03					200.03			Odessa region	party
14			00.81	00.02	1	-		(may				00.02	-			00.05	2	Poltava region	organi
		4 00.15			-	4		00.04				00.04	- 3	00 04	2 00.07			Rivne region	zations
25			00.13			_		00.02		34 00.75			1		12 00.26			Sumy region	(numb
	1 00.06	00.63		00.06		_		00.06	6 00.34				- 1	3		00.63	=	Ternopil region	er and
_		00.01								00.01			00.01	00 01					percentage)
	4 00.09		00.05		-									00 00	9 00.21	00.42	18	Kherson region	ntage)
			00.06	00.04		10.02	000	14 00.27				00.97	5 8	300	5 00.10	00.37	19	Khmelnytskyi region	
		13 00.29	00.04	01.19		- 1	0011	00.02		4 00.09			00.02	3-	00.02			Cherkasy region	
		7 00.40										00.11	3	8-				Chernivsti region	
								4 00.07	61				00.07	4 6		00.03	2	Chernihiv region	
																		City of Kyiv	
												00.39	-					City of Sevastopol	
46	48 00.044	58 00.053	61 00.056	64 00.058	00.059	65	71	72 00.066	74 00.067	76 00.069	81 00.074	00.078	00.085	93	99	00.091	100	TOTAL	

							Total	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and percentage)	er of el	ected n	nember	rs, nom	inated	by loc	al part	y orga	nizatio	nun) sı	ber an	d perc	entage							
The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single, single-seat constituencies	Autonomous Republic of Crimea	Vinnytsya region	Volyn region	Dnipropetrovsk region	Donetsk region	Zhytomyr region	Zakarpattya region	Zaporizzhya region	Ivano-Frankivsk region	Kyiv region	Kirovohrad region	Luhanks region	Lviv region	Mykolayiv region	Odessa region	Poltava region	Rivne region	Sumy region	Ternopil region	Kharkiv region	Kherson region	Khmelnytskyi region	Cherkasy region	Chernivsti region	Chernihiv region	City of Kyiv	City of Sevastopol	TOTAL
Democratic Party of Ukraine	8 00.20	2 00.03	00.05	,											-	2			29		1	1	2 ((((44
Democratic Party of Hungarians of Ukraine							38									90.0			01.00				00.0	1				38
Party of Motherland Defenders																												00.035
Control de la Co						00.02				00.05	00.02	00.02	1 21 00.02 00.72				2 00.07	2 00.04				2 00.04	2 5 00.04 00.11					38 00.035
Social-democratic Party of Ukraine	00.02	00.06	3 00.15	00.02	2 00.03	3 00.07	2 00.13	00.02	00.05	1 1 3 00.02 00.05 00.05		1 00.02				00 00					3		32	200	5 1			37
Party Socialist Ukraine "		00.02							00.05	2					30005					2		2	14	00.00	00.02			28
National ecological Party				0,																		19						27
Party for Human Rights				-					I												00.19	00.37						00.025
Political Party "Party of Local				00.02																				23 01.30	23 1 01.30 00.02			25 00.023
Governments"										1 00.02		8 00.15	16 00.55															25 00.023
Okrainian Sea Party	23 00.57							00.02			1 00.02																	25
Party of Pensioners of Ukraine	00.02							1 00.02		00.02					11					β ω			2				4	
Political Party "Third Force"						1 00.02				13			000			3				00.01	4		9	-			7.57	
Rodina Party															22						00.00			00.00				22
Political Party Ukraine Future				22 00.36											00.34													00.020
Ukrainian Chornobyl People's Party "For the welfare and social protection of people"						21 00.51																						21

Party Proposition of the party, beat processing properties properties processing process processing process proc	rany	Ukraine"	"KMK	Union " Republi	The P	Party	"Green"	Politic	Party ,	Ukrai	Foliti	rights"	Politi	"Mot	Ukra	Socia	Forces"	Defe	Politi	
Market M	of Civic Solidarity	ne"	S"Party of Hungarians of	olican Christian Party	e "All-Ukrainian Political	Ruses block	'n".	cal party:	of Emp	Ukrainian Peasant Democratic Party	Ical Party "Truth"	S ₁	ical Party "Party of human	ther Motherland"	G.	al-democratic Party of	ical Party "Union of Left es"	Defense"	The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single, single-seat constituencies	
Total number of detects Total number Total nu			-	\perp				-							00.02	00.07	3		Crimea	
	-		-	-				0.05							00.02	1		00.03	Vinnytsya region	
Only	-			-		_		-					0.10	2		1			Volyn region	
Policy P						00.02			00.05	ω									Dnipropetrovsk region	
O	0.01		<u> </u>	-		200.03							L		9 00.13				Donetsk region	
	_		_	00.02	-				00.10	4					4 00.10	00.07	3		Zhytomyr region	
		8		-			00.06						00.06	-					Zakarpattya region	Total
					1					1						00.02	-	2 00.04	Zaporizzhya region	numbe
			00.05		_														Ivano-Frankivsk region	er of el
	3 00.05			00.02	-		9 00.16			64.60	41								Kyiv region	ected n
																00.09	4		Kirovohrad region	ıember
															1 00.02	00.05	ω		Luhanks region	s, non
			7 00.24						00.14			10 00.34	00.14	4				7 00.24	Lviv region	inated
	1 00.02											4 00.09	00.05	2					Mykolayiv region	by loc
				00.11	7 00.02	000										00.02	- 3	6	Odessa region	al part
																			Poltava region	y orga
																			Rivne region	nizatio
																			Sumy region	ıs (nun
			00.06							T			00.17	,,			9	8-	Ternopil region	nber aı
							2 00.03						00.03		00.01			,	Kharkiv region	
00 - 00 - 00 - Cherkasy region 00 - 00 -															00.03	00.0	-		Kherson region	entage
Cherkasy region O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O									00.02							- 2		3 -	Khmelnytskyi region	
O Chernivsti region Chernihiv region City of Kyiv City of Sevastopol								9 00.20	00.02					1					Cherkasy region	
Chernihiv region City of Kyiv City of Sevastopol									.,,				00.00			00.1	2 00.	2	Chernivsti region	
City of Kyiv City of Sevastopol	3 00.05							¥					5			-	\ <u></u>	+	Chernihiv region	
City of Sevastopol 0.0001 0.0														1			1		City of Kyiv	
TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL TOTAL					02.35	6								1			1		City of Sevastopol	
	8 00.007	8	9 9 9	9 00.008			12	12	13 00.012	00.013	14	14	00.014		18	00.016	00.019	21	TOTAL	

Youth Party of Ukraine	People's Party UKRAINE"	Political Party "War Child"	Patriotic Party of Illeraine	Ecology and Social Protection"	"All-Ukrainian political party -	All-Ukrainian Party of People's	Ukraine Octailst Party of	Ukrainian Party"	Political Party "Cossack	Political party "Motherland"	All-Ukrainian Party "New Power"	Ukraine	Movement of Ukraine for Unity	Association "Ukraine" Political party: People's	Party of National-Democratic	Ukraine "Rainbow"	The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single, single-seat constituencies	
00.02	+				\dagger		00.02	1		1					+		Autonomous Republic of Crimea	Γ
2							2				00.02	00.09	00.03		T		Vinnytsya region	
					00.25	S					2		ω	00.30	,		Volyn region	
			00.02	-											T		Dnipropetrovsk region	
							00.01								T		Donetsk region	
																	Zhytomyr region	
00.06																	Zakarpattya region	Tota
in service																	Zaporizzhya region	numb
	00.05			00.24									3 00.14				Ivano-Frankivsk region	er of el
			00.07				00.02										Kyiv region	ected n
																	Kirovohrad region	ıember
																	Luhanks region	s, nom
	00.03							00.14		00.14	4.			00.03			Lviv region	inated
																	Mykolayiv region	by locs
1 1 00.02 00.02		00.05								00.02	3-						Odessa region	ıl party
1 00.02								00.05	00.05	,							Poltava region	organ
												1 00.04					Rivne region	ization
																	Sumy region	s (num
																	Ternopil region	ber an
						00.04	3004								00.10	7	Kharkiv region	d perc
									00.09								Kherson region	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and percentage)
																	Khmelnytskyi region	
	3 00.07	2 00.04															Cherkasy region	
													2 00.11				Chernivsti region	
																	Chernihiv region	l
																	City of Kyiv	
															B		City of Sevastopol	
4 00.004	5 00.005	00.005	00.005	5 00.005	00.005	500.00	6	00.005	00.005	00.005	6	7 00.006	7 00.006	7 00.006	00.006	7	TOTAL	

Political party of the Ukrainian Social-Democrats	Political Party Ukrainian National Assembly	Folitical party Labour of Ukraine	Political party "Fair country"	Medium Enterprises of Ukraine	"Solidarity of Ukrainian Women"	"Party of Peasants".	Party "United Ukraine"	Liberal Party of Ukraine	Unity (VPMYE)	Political Party Soborna Ukraine	All-Ukrainian Labor Party	fighting corruption and organized crime	Republican Party of Ukraine	Political Party "All-Ukrainian Patriotic Union"	The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single, single-seat constituencies	
															Autonomous Republic of Crimea	
2										00.02					Vinnytsya region	
					00.05			00.05							Volyn region	
		00.02	00.03												Dnipropetrovsk region	
		00.01						00.01							Donetsk region	
															Zhytomyr region	
															Zakarpattya region	Total
		100000							00.02						Zaporizzhya region	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and
															Ivano-Frankivsk region	er of el
					00.02						00.02				Kyiv region	ected n
													00.02		Kirovohrad region	nembei
													,,,		Luhanks region	'S, non
	2 00.07									2 00.07					Lviv region	inated
	-									7					Mykolayiv region	by loc
						00.03	00.03					2 00.03			Odessa region	al part
												- W	00.02		Poltava region	y orga
												00.04	2	4 00.15	Rivne region	nizatio
				2 00.04							00.0	-4-		5	Sumy region	ns (nun
				-4-							1 1 00.02 00.06				Ternopil region	nber a
											6				Kharkiv region	
															Kherson region	percentage)
									1 00.02						Khmelnytskyi region	٠
1									2						Cherkasy region	
+													00.11		Chernivsti region	
1															Chernihiv region	
1															City of Kyiv	
1												1 00.39			City of Sevastopol	
2	00.00	00.002	2 00.002	2 00.002	2 00.002	2 00.002	2 00.002	2 00.002	2 00.002	3 00.003	3 00.003	9 00.004	00.004	4 00.004	TOTAL	

The name of the party, local	ublic of			egion			n Total	n numbo	egion 5	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and percentage)	embers	s, nomi	nated l	y local	party	organi	zations	(num	oer and	percei	ntage)	on						
The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single, single-seat constituencies	Autonomous Republi Crimea	Vinnytsya region	Volyn region	Dnipropetrovsk regio	Donetsk region	Zhytomyr region	Zakarpattya region	Zaporizzhya region	Ivano-Frankivsk regio	Kyiv region	Kirovohrad region	Luhanks region	Lviv region	Mykolayiv region	Odessa region	Poltava region	Rivne region	Sumy region	Ternopil region	Kharkiv region	Kherson region	Khmelnytskyi region	Cherkasy region	Chernivsti region	Chernihiv region	100 BEEF 15	City of Kyiv	City of Kyiv City of Sevastopol
All-Ukrainian Party of Spirituality and Patriotism						1 00.02	,													1	1	1			•	1	(
People's Party of Depositors and Social Protection					00.01																					- 1		
Party of National-economic Development of Ukraine							1																			- 1		
Party Radical breakthrough																	-									- 1		
Party Solidarity											3-						3											
Political Party "LargeUkraine"										00.02																- 1		
Political Party "All-Ukrainian Party" Children of War									00.05																			+
Political Party Liberal Ukraine																								_	8-			+
Political Party "Youth Ukraine"			1 00.05																						20.02	1		+
Political Party "Party of environmental rescue ECO +25%"				1 00.02																						- 1		
Political party "Political association " Direct Action "										00.02																		-
Political Party" Law will of Ukraine"													1 00.03															
Social-Christian Party										00.02										_								

Γ	Total	Ukraine	non I	
		Arristian Liberal Party of Okraine	The name of the party, local organizations which have nominated candidates in single, single-seat constituencies	
100.00	4047		Autonomous Republic of Crimea	
100.00	6431		Vinnytsya region	
100.00	1971		Volyn region	
100.00	6076	00.02	Dnipropetrovsk region	
100.00	6909		Donetsk region	
100.00 100	4118		Zhytomyr region	
100.00	1579		Zakarpattya region	Total
100.00	1579 4728		Zaporizzhya region	numbe
100.00	2104		Ivano-Frankivsk region	r of ele
100.00	5497		Kyiv region	ected m
100.00	4582		Kirovohrad region	ember
100.00	5495		Luhanks region	Total number of elected members, nominated by local party organizations (number and per
100.00	2917		Lviv region	nated
100.00	4330		Mykolayiv region	by loca
100.00	6546		Odessa region	lparty
100.00	4323		Poltava region	organi
100.00	2713		Rivne region	zation
100.00	4533		Sumy region	s (num
100.00	1746		Ternopil region	ber an
100.00	6983		Kharkiv region	d perce
100.00	4290		Kherson region	ntage)
100.00	5131		Khmelnytskyi region	
100.00 100.00 100.00	4519		Cherkasy region	
100.00	1771		Chernivsti region	
100.00	6048		Chernihiv region	
			City of Kyiv	
100.00	255		City of Sevastopol	
100.000	109642	00.001	TOTAL	